

**Ballot Name:** Approval of ASB 022  
**Deadline of Submission of Public** 19-Nov-18  
**CB Ballot Closing Date:** 19-Nov-18  
**Document Number:** ASB Std 022  
**Document Title:** Re-circulation\* – ASB Standard 022, Standard for Forensic DNA Analysis Training Programs, First Edition

*Note: a specific Proposed Resolution must accompany each comment or it cannot be considered.*

#	Section	Type of Comment (E-Editorial, T-Technical)	Comments	Proposed Resolution	Final Resolution
1	2	E	The Bibliography is now Annex A	Change reference to Annex B to Annex A	Accept: Change made to section 3
2	3.5	E	In response to a prior comment (comment 62), the definitions for SWGDAM and QAS were removed from the document. Following their removal, the term FBI no longer appears in the body of the standard	Remove the definition for FBI	Accept: Change made
3	4.2.5 and 5c	E	In response to a prior comment (comment 3), reference to needing lab management to approve the training program was removed from section 4.2.1. Likewise, reference to lab management approval in sections 4.2.5 and 5c should also be removed for consistency.	Remove the phrase "...and laboratory management, as applicable,..." from sections 4.2.5 and 5c	Partial Accept: Point 4.2.1 and 4.2.5 were modified. 5.C Laboratory policy may dictate that laboratory management has to approve successful completion of training.
4	3.5	E	1) term is plural; definition is singular; 2) term is used in the definition	Term = method or method(s); delete "method is" from the beginning of the definition; just start with "a combination of ...."	Accept: See revised 3.5 (this used to be 3.6 but numbers changed when we deleted FBI)
5	3.6	E	next to last line has "these variations" but no variations are mentioned	delete "these" ; substitute "variations in the DNA sequence may be used..."	Accept: 3.6 (used to be 3.7) was revised and because this comment affects 3.15 and 3.11 the word "these" was removed prior to the variations in 3.15 and added "in Y-STRs " after variations. Also, 3.10 now read "variations in STRs" instead of "these variations".
6	4.1.2	E	If it unclear how this is different from 4.2.4; several other sections of this document seem to be duplicated	recommend having the several duplicated sections combined under only one requirement rather than having the same requirement repeated in two different sections.	Reject: Section 4.1 is specific to personnel and the coordinator can oversee the training program but the DNA technical lead is responsible. Section 4.2 is specific to the training program and section 4.2.4 is specific to the administration of the training program.
7	3.5	T	The definition of methods should specifically include interpretation of the data obtained. Sec 4.1.5 specifically references data interpretation as a method	for example, extraction methods, quantitation methods, typing test kits and platforms, and data interpretation.	Reject: Method is a generic terms that is not specific to DNA.
8	4.2.2 e	T (from WG: this is E not T)	This definition should be expanded to make clear what the scope of the training is	Developmental Forensic DNA validations and internal validation studies including the design and scope of those internal studies.	Accept: This is an editorial clarification because the WG agreed the term was too generic. Added (developmental and/or internal validations to include the design and scope) to clarify point e: Applicable validations.