

ASB Standard 081, First Edition
202X

**Standard for Training in Statistical Calculations Used for
Forensic Short Tandem Repeat (STR) DNA Data**

DRAFT



ASB
AAFS STANDARDS BOARD

Standard for Training in Statistical Calculations Used for Forensic Short Tandem Repeat (STR) DNA Data

ASB Approved Xxxxx 202X

ANSI Approved Xxxxxx 202X



410 North 21st Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

This document may be downloaded from: www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board

This document is provided by the AAFS Standards Board (ASB). Users are permitted to print and download the document and extracts from the document for personal use, however the following actions are prohibited under copyright:

- *modifying this document or its related graphics in any way;*
- *using any illustrations or any graphics separately from any accompanying text; and,*
- *failing to include an acknowledgment alongside the copied material noting the AAFS Standards Board as the copyright holder and publisher.*

Users may not reproduce, duplicate, copy, sell, resell, or exploit for any commercial purposes this document or any portion of it. Users may create a hyperlink to www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board to allow persons to download their individual free copy of this document. The hyperlink must not portray AAFS, the AAFS Standards Board, this document, our agents, associates and affiliates in an offensive manner, or be misleading or false. ASB trademarks may not be used as part of a link without written permission from ASB.

The AAFS Standards Board retains the sole right to submit this document to any other forum for any purpose.

Certain commercial entities, equipment or materials may be identified in this document to describe a procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendations or endorsement by the AAFS or the AAFS Standards Board, nor is it intended to imply that the entities, materials, or equipment are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

*This document is copyrighted © by the AAFS Standards Board, LLC. 202X All rights are reserved.
410 North 21st Street, Colorado Springs, CO 80904, www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board.*

Foreword

This standard defines the requirements to be met in a forensic DNA analyst training program for the application of statistics to autosomal and Y-STR DNA profiling results. The aim is to provide a framework for quality training resulting in consistency within a laboratory and in the forensic DNA community.

The American Academy of Forensic Sciences established the Academy Standards Board (ASB) in 2015 with a vision of safeguarding Justice, Integrity and Fairness through Consensus Based American National Standards. To that end, the ASB develops consensus based forensic standards within a framework accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI), and provides training to support those standards. ASB values integrity, scientific rigor, openness, due process, collaboration, excellence, diversity and inclusion. ASB is dedicated to developing and making freely accessible the highest quality documentary forensic science consensus Standards, Guidelines, Best Practices, and Technical Reports in a wide range of forensic science disciplines as a service to forensic practitioners and the legal system.

This document was revised, prepared, and finalized as a standard by the DNA Consensus Body of the AAFS Standards Board. The draft of this standard was developed by the Human Forensic Biology Subcommittee of the Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) for Forensic Science.

Questions, comments, and suggestions for the improvement of this document can be sent to AAFS-ASB Secretariat, asb@aafs.org or 410 N 21st Street, Colorado Springs, CO 80904.

All hyperlinks and web addresses shown in this document are current as of the publication date of this standard.

ASB procedures are publicly available, free of cost, at www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board.

Keywords: *random match probability, likelihood ratio, DNA interpretation, statistics, training, DNA standard*

Table of Contents *(to be finalized prior to this document's publication)*

1 Scope.....

2 Normative References.....

3 Terms and Definitions.....

4 Requirements.....

4.1 General.....

4.2 Knowledge-Based Training.....

4.3 Practical Training.....

4.4 Competency Component.....

5 Conformance.....

Annex A (informative) Bibliography.....

DRAFT

Standard for Training in Statistical Calculations Used for Forensic Short Tandem Repeat (STR) DNA Data

1 Scope

This standard provides the requirements for a forensic DNA laboratory's training program for the use of statistical calculations and values reported for forensic autosomal and Y chromosome short tandem repeat (STR) DNA data.

2 Normative References

The following reference is indispensable for the application of the standard. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ANSI/ASB Standard 022, *Standard for Forensic DNA Analysis Training Programs*¹.

3 Terms and Definitions

For purposes of this document, the following definitions apply.

3.1

Combined Probability of Exclusion

CPE

The probability that a randomly selected individual would be excluded as a contributor to the DNA mixture. If the single-locus exclusion probabilities are independent, and if P_j is the probability of exclusion at locus j , then the combined probability of exclusion is $1 - \prod_j (1 - P_j)$.

3.2

Combined Probability of Inclusion

CPI

The probability that a randomly selected individual would not be excluded (i.e., is included) as a contributor to the DNA mixture. If the single-locus exclusion probabilities are independent, and if P_j is the probability of exclusion at locus j , then the combined probability of inclusion is $\prod_j (1 - P_j)$.

3.3

counting method

A method for estimating genotype, sequence, or haplotype frequency by direct counting of the number of times a genotype, sequence, or haplotype is observed in a database and dividing by the number of samples in that database. This method is commonly used for estimating frequencies in populations for mitochondrial DNA and Y-STR DNA haplotype results.

¹ Available from: www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board.

32 **3.4**33 **haplotype**

34 A set of linked DNA variations, or polymorphisms, that tends to be inherited together (e.g.,
 35 commonly used for human Y-chromosome or mitochondrial analysis). A haplotype can refer to a
 36 combination of alleles or to a set of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) found along a single
 37 chromosome.

38 **3.5**39 **Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium**

40 A state in which allele and single locus genotype frequencies do not change (on average) from one
 41 generation to the next in a population. When alleles in a population are independent, allele and
 42 genotype frequencies are related through the Hardy-Weinberg principle: for a locus with 2 alleles P
 43 and Q at frequencies of p and q, homozygotes for P are found at frequency p^2 , homozygotes for Q
 44 are found at a frequency of q^2 , and heterozygotes are found at a frequency of $2pq$. Use of the theta
 45 correction allows the assumption of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in the population for which a
 46 frequency database is constructed.

47 **3.6**48 **Identical by Descent**49 **IBD**

50 Identical alleles that are copies of the same ancestral allele without mutation; this is a subset of
 51 identical by state (IBS).

52 **3.7**53 **Identical by State**54 **IBS**

55 Identical alleles that may or may not be copies of the same ancestral allele.

56 **3.8**57 **inbreeding**

58 Mating of two persons who are more closely related than if they were chosen at random. It
 59 increases the frequency of homozygous genotypes above the expected for a randomly mating
 60 population in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

61 **3.9**62 **kinship analysis**

63 Comparison of genetic profiles of two or more individuals to evaluate alternative degrees of
 64 biological relatedness.

65 **3.10**66 **Likelihood Ratio**67 **LR**

68 A likelihood ratio is defined by a ratio of two conditional probabilities: the probabilities of the
 69 evidence given each of two mutually exclusive and competing propositions.
 70 *ASTM E1732-12[mod]²*

² Available from ASTM, at www.astm.org

71 **3.11**72 **linkage equilibrium/disequilibrium**

73 When two or more genetic loci appear to segregate randomly in a given population, they are at
74 equilibrium. When the loci do not segregate randomly, they are at disequilibrium.

75 **3.12**76 **mutation rate**

77 The relative frequency at which mutations have been observed at a specific genetic locus; generally
78 estimated as the number of mutations observed in parent-offspring pairs divided by the total
79 number of pairs examined.

80 **3.13**81 **Paternity or Maternity Index**82 **PI/MI**

83 Likelihood ratio in which the numerator is the probability of the DNA data given the proposition
84 that the tested individual is the biological parent of the profile donor, and the denominator is the
85 probability of the DNA data given the proposition that the tested individual is unrelated to the
86 profile donor.

87 **3.14**88 **proposition**

89 A statement that is true or false, associated with the standpoint, known or assumed, of one of the
90 parties on a disputed issue of interest.

91 **3.15**92 **Random Match Probability**93 **RMP**

94 The probability of randomly selecting an unrelated individual from the population who could be a
95 potential contributor to an evidentiary profile.

96 **3.16**97 **reverse parentage**

98 Likelihood ratio in which three individuals have been profiled—the child and two questioned
99 biological parents. More specifically, the probability of observing the data if the child is the
100 biological child of the alleged parents, divided by the probability of observing the data if the tested
101 individuals are unrelated to the child.

102 **3.17**103 **sibship index**

104 Likelihood ratio in which the numerator is the probability of the DNA data given the proposition
105 that the two tested individuals are biological siblings, and the denominator is the probability of the
106 DNA data given the proposition that the two tested individuals are unrelated.

107 **3.18**108 **source attribution**

109 A declaration which identifies an individual as the source of the DNA that produced an evidentiary
110 single-source or deduced contributor profile. This statement is based on a statistical estimate that
111 meets or exceeds a laboratory-defined threshold.

112 **3.19**113 **theta correction**

114 A method used to adjust statistical calculations that rely on population databases to correct for
115 substructures within populations.

116 **4 Requirements**117 **4.1 General**

118 **4.1.1** ANSI/ASB Standard 022, *Standard for Forensic DNA Analysis Training Programs* shall be
119 used in conjunction with this document because ANSI/ASB Standard 022 provides the foundational
120 training program requirements upon which additional specific requirements, such as this
121 document, will be based.

122 **4.1.2** The laboratory's training program shall include all requirements applicable to the work
123 conducted by the laboratory and by the individual in training.

124 **4.2 Knowledge-Based Training**

125 **4.2.1** At a minimum, the knowledge-based portion of the training program shall require review of
126 the following:

- 127 a) the laboratory's protocols for statistical applications;
- 128 b) the laboratory's applicable validation studies;
- 129 c) literature used to support validation;
- 130 d) literature used to support specific calculations and their use in appropriate circumstances;
- 131 e) applicable literature as assigned by the trainer;
- 132 f) literature on the effects of cognitive bias in decision-making processes associated with
133 statistical calculations used for forensic STR DNA data.

134 **4.2.2** The knowledge-based training component of the laboratory's training program shall
135 provide the trainee with basic instruction of probability and statistics applied to autosomal and Y-
136 STR data to include, at minimum, the following topics.

- 137 a) *Population Genetics*
 - 138 1) laws of Mendelian genetics (the law of segregation and the law of independent assortment);
 - 139 2) Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, assumptions, and related evolutionary forces;
 - 140 3) linkage equilibrium/disequilibrium;
 - 141 4) use of theta correction to adjust for inbreeding and population substructure.

- 142 b) *Statistical Foundations*
- 143 1) frequency;
- 144 2) probability;
- 145 3) odds;
- 146 4) the laws of probability (e.g., the addition rule and product rule);
- 147 5) Bayes' theorem;
- 148 6) Sources of uncertainty (e.g., modelling uncertainty and sampling variability).
- 149 c) *Population Allelic Frequency Databases*
- 150 1) population database size relative to the population size;
- 151 2) sample collection, to include:
- 152 i) number of samples,
- 153 ii) how population group was determined,
- 154 iii) how the database was created, maintained and reviewed,
- 155 iv) sampling uncertainty;
- 156 3) differences in allele frequencies observed between population databases;
- 157 4) mechanisms to account for alleles not observed in the database.
- 158 d) *Suitability of data for statistical application*
- 159 1) when to perform statistical analyses and which statistical calculation is validated for the
- 160 type of data obtained and comparison performed;
- 161 2) instruction on which loci to include in the statistical analyses when the following
- 162 circumstances are observed, at a minimum:
- 163 i) no allelic data,
- 164 ii) partial allelic data,
- 165 iii) tri-alleles, duplications/triplications, null alleles, and mutations,
- 166 iv) STR artifacts.
- 167 e) *Statistical analysis for autosomal STR data*
- 168 1) proper use of statistical calculations to include derivation and applicable equations;

- 169 2) statistical calculation method(s) in use by the laboratory, to address:
- 170 i) population substructure,
- 171 ii) mutation rates,
- 172 iii) known relatedness;
- 173 3) equation(s) in use by the laboratory, to include:
- 174 i) combined probability of inclusion,
- 175 ii) combined probability of exclusion,
- 176 iii) random match probability (or modification),
- 177 iv) likelihood ratio including formulating propositions;
- 178 4) operation of the software program(s) in use by the laboratory, including the underlying
- 179 equations and review of the output data;
- 180 5) source attribution statements
- 181 6) limitations and assumptions of statistical method(s) used by the laboratory.
- 182 f) *Statistical analysis for Y-STR data*
- 183 1) detailed instruction on the calculation of haplotype frequencies using counting methods, to
- 184 include:
- 185 i) consideration of the differences between the loci that the database samples are typed
- 186 with and the loci in the amplification kit used by the laboratory,
- 187 ii) instruction on confidence intervals, Y-STR profile probabilities and Y-STR match
- 188 probabilities,
- 189 iii) consideration of the effect of the database source/population and size;
- 190 iv) instruction on incorporating Y-STR mutation rates;
- 191 v) instruction on combining statistical values from autosomal and Y-STR data;
- 192 2) operation of the software program(s) used by the laboratory, to include:
- 193 i) underlying equations,
- 194 ii) review of the output data,
- 195 iii) search types (e.g.,reduced, masked, transient).

- 196 g) *Kinship analysis*
- 197 1) statistical calculations for kinship associations including derivation and use, to include, as
198 applicable:
- 199 i) the difference between alleles that are identical by state (IBS) or identical by descent
200 (IBD),
- 201 ii) how to set-up competing propositions for kinship calculations,
- 202 iii) how to account for mutations in the kinship calculations;
- 203 2) determination of appropriate calculation for the case (identifying the unknown in the
204 relationship scenario), to include:
- 205 i) maternity or paternity index,
- 206 ii) reverse parentage,
- 207 iii) sibship, aunt or uncle, or single grandparent index,
- 208 iv) complex family reconstruction.

209 **4.3 Practical Training**

210 **4.3.1** The practical component of the laboratory's training program shall provide the trainee with
211 practical instruction for the trainee to obtain the skills for calculating statistical values used by the
212 laboratory.

213 **4.3.2** At a minimum, the practical portion of the training program shall include the observation of
214 a trained analyst performing the processes with exercises representative of the range, type, and
215 complexity of DNA data from routine casework or database samples processed by the laboratory, at
216 least once or until clearly understood.

217 **4.3.3** Practical exercises shall be representative of the range, type, and complexity of routine DNA
218 data from casework samples processed by the laboratory. Practical exercises shall include the
219 following:

- 220 a) the application of statistical analysis to the laboratory's own data;
- 221 b) hand calculations for the following, as appropriate: RMP (or modification), single source LR,
222 CPI/CPE, and kinship analysis likelihood ratios;
- 223 c) exercises to understand the derivation of the equations involved in the calculation for
224 parentage and kinship analysis likelihood ratios, as appropriate.

225 **4.3.4** The practical exercises performed shall be sufficient to demonstrate the trainee's ability to
226 follow the laboratory's protocols and produce accurate statistical values.

227 4.4 Competency Component

228 4.4.1 General

229 The competency component of the laboratory's training program shall demonstrate knowledge-
230 based and practical competency in the laboratory's protocols for statistical applications. The format
231 of the test(s) and the criteria for passing the competency test(s) shall meet Section 4.3 of the
232 ANSI/ASB Standard 022, *Standard for Forensic Training DNA Analysis Training Programs*.

233 4.4.2 Knowledge-Based Competency

234 As applicable to the trainee's job responsibilities, the trainee shall successfully complete (as defined
235 by the laboratory's policy) a knowledge-based test covering the critical information obtained
236 during the training in the application of statistics . The test(s) shall cover, at a minimum, the topics
237 outlined in 4.2 and its subsections.

238 4.4.3 Practical Competency

239 The trainee shall successfully complete (as defined by the laboratory's policy) a practical
240 competency test covering each of the statistical applications the trainee will be independently
241 authorized to perform. DNA data from samples representative of the range, type, and complexity for
242 which the trainee will be authorized to perform statistical calculations shall be included in the
243 practical competency test(s).

244 5 Conformance

245 In order to demonstrate conformance with this standard, the laboratory shall meet the
246 requirements outlined in Section 5 of ANSI/ASB Std 022, *Standard for Forensic DNA Analysis
247 Training Programs* and all the requirements set forth in this document.

248

249
250

Annex A (informative)

251

Bibliography

252 The following bibliography is not intended to be an all-inclusive list, review, or endorsement of
253 literature on this topic. The goal of the bibliography is to provide publications cited informationally,
254 and publications relevant to the standard. For undated references, the latest edition of the
255 referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

- 256 1] ANSI/ASB Standard 022, *Standard for Forensic DNA Analysis Training Programs*, First Edition
257 2019.³
- 258 2] AABB. *Standards for Relationship Testing Laboratories*, current edition
- 259 3] Balding, D.J., P. Donnelly. "Evaluating DNA profile evidence when the suspect is identified
260 through a database search." *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 1996, Vol 41(4), pp. 603–607.
- 261 4] Balding, et al. "DNA profile match probability calculation: how to allow for population
262 stratification, relatedness, database selection and single bands." *Forensic Science International*,
263 1994, vol. 64, pp. 125–140.
- 264 5] Berger, C.E., P. Vergeer, J. Buckleton. "A more straightforward derivation of the LR for a
265 database search." *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 2015, vol. 14, pp. 156–160.
- 266 6] Bieber, et al. "Evaluation of forensic DNA mixture evidence: protocol for evaluation,
267 interpretation, and statistical calculations using the combined probability of inclusion." *BMC*
268 *Genetics*, 2016, vol. 17: 125(1).
- 269 7] Bille, et al. "PENDULUM – a guideline based approach to the interpretation of STR mixtures."
270 *Forensic Science International*, 2005, vol. 148, pp. 181–189.
- 271 8] Bille, T.W., S.M. Weitz, M.D. Coble, J. Buckleton, A. Bright. "Comparison of the performance of
272 different models for the interpretation of low level mixed DNA profiles." *Electrophoresis* 35,
273 2014, vol. 3125–3133.
- 274 9] Bille, et al. "Application of Random Match Probability Calculations to Mixed STR Profiles."
275 *Journal of Forensic Science*, 2013, vol. 58(2), pp. 474–485.
- 276 10] Bright, et al. A comparison of stochastic variation in mixed and unmixed casework and
277 synthetic samples. *Forensic Sci Int Genet.* 2012 ,vol.6(2),pp.180-4..
- 278 11] Bright, et al. "Degradation of forensic DNA profiles." *Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences*,
279 2013, pp. 1–5.
- 280 12] Bright, et al. "Developing allelic and stutter peak height models for a continuous method of
281 DNA Interpretation", *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 2013, vol. 7(2), pp. 296–304.

³ Available from: www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board.

- 282 13] Bright, et al. “Examination of the variability in mixed DNA profile parameters for the
283 Identifiler™ multiplex.” *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 2010, vol. 4, pp. 111–114.
- 284 14] Bright, et al “Investigation into the performance of different models for predicting stutter.”
285 *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 2013, vol. 7, pp. 433–427.
- 286 15] Bright, J.A., D. Taylor, S. Gittelsohn, J. Buckleton. “The paradigm shift in DNA profile
287 interpretation.” *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 2017, vol. 31, e24–e32.
- 288 16] Brookes, et al. “Characterising stutter in forensic STR multiplexes.” *Forensic Science
289 International: Genetics*, 2012, vol. 6, pp. 58–63.
- 290 17] Buckleton et al. NIST interlaboratory studies involving DNA mixtures (MIX13): A modern
291 analysis, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 37 (2018) 172-179.
- 292 18] Buckleton, et al. “Towards understanding the effect of uncertainty in the number of
293 contributors to DNA stains.” *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 2007, vol. 1, pp. 20–28.
- 294 19] Buckleton, et al. “The interpretation of lineage markers in forensic DNA testing.” *Forensic
295 Science International: Genetics*, 2011, vol. 5, pp. 78–83.
- 296 20] Buckleton, J., et al. *Forensic DNA Evidence Interpretation*, Second ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton,
297 2016.
- 298 21] Budowle, et al. “Mixture Interpretation: Defining the Relevant Features for Guidelines for the
299 Assessment of Mixed DNA Profiles in Forensic Casework.” *Journal of Forensic Science*, 2009,
300 vol. 54(4), pp. 810–821.
- 301 22] Budowle, B., Moretti, T.R., et al. “Population Data on the Thirteen CODIS Core Short Tandem
302 Repeat Loci in African Americans, U.S. Caucasians, Hispanics, Bahamians, Jamaicans and
303 Trinidadians.” *Journal of Forensic Science*, 1999, vol. 44, No. 6, pp. 1277–1286.
- 304 23] Budowle, B., et al. “Population Data on the STR Loci D2S1338 and D19S433.” *Forensic Science
305 Communications*, 2001, Vol. 3, No. 3, pp. 1–5.
- 306 24] Butler, J.M. *Forensic DNA Typing: Biology, Technology, and Genetics of STR Markers*. Second
307 Edition, 2005, pages 145–174.
- 308 25] Butler, J. M., Kline, M. C., & Coble, M. D. (2018). NIST interlaboratory studies involving DNA
309 mixtures (MIX05 and MIX13): Variation observed and lessons learned. *Forensic science
310 international. Genetics*, 37, 81–94.⁴
- 311 26] Butler, J.M., Vallone, P.M., Gettings, K.B., Borsuk, L.A. , Ruitberg, C.M. ,and Reeder, D.J., NIST
312 Short Tandem Repeat DNA Internet Database, National Institute of Standards and Technology,
313 doi:10.18434/T44G6P.
- 314 27] Clayton, et al. “Analysis and interpretation of mixed forensic stains using DNA STR profiling.”
315 *Forensic Science International*, 1998, vol. 91, pp. 55–70.

⁴ Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2018.07.024>

- 316 28] Clopper and Pearson. “The use of confidence or fiducial limits illustrated in the case of the
317 binomial.” *Biometrika*, 1934, vol. 26(4), pp. 404–413.
- 318 29] Curran, et al. “Assessing uncertainty in DNA evidence caused by sampling effects.” *Science and
319 Justice*, 2002, pp. 29-37.
- 320 30] Curran, J.M., B.S. Weir, et al. “Interpreting DNA Mixtures in Structured Populations.” *Journal of
321 Forensic Science*, 1999, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 987–995.
- 322 31] Evett, et al, “Taking Account of Peak Areas when Interpreting Mixed DNA Profiles.” *Journal of
323 Forensic Science*, 1998, vol. 43(1), pp. 62–69.
- 324 32] FBI DNA Advisory Board. *Statistical and Population Genetics Issues Affecting the Evaluation of
325 the Frequency of Occurrence of DNA Profiles Calculated From Pertinent Population Database(s)*.
326 Forensic Science Communications, 2000, Vol. 2, no. 3.
- 327 33] Gittelson, S., T. Kalafut, S. Myers, D. Taylor, T. Hicks, F. Taroni, I.W. Evett, J.-A. Bright, J.
328 Buckleton. “A Practical Guide for the Formulation of Propositions in the Bayesian Approach to
329 DNA Evidence Interpretation in an Adversarial Environment.” *Journal of Forensic Sciences*,
330 2016, vol. 61(1), pp. 186–195.
- 331 34] Hill, C.R., D.L. Duetter, M.C. Kline, M.D. Coble, J.M. Butler. “U.S. population data for 29 autosomal
332 STR loci.” *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 2013, Vol. 7, pp. e82–e83.
- 333 35] Holt, C.L., et al. “Practical Applications of Genotypic Surveys for Forensic STR Testing.” *Forensic
334 Science International*, 2000, vol. 112, pp. 91–109.
- 335 36] Kelly, H., J.A. Bright, J. Buckleton, J.M. Curran. “A comparison of statistical models for the
336 analysis of complex forensic DNA profiles.” *Science and Justice*, 2014, vol. 54, pp. 66–70.
- 337 37] National Research Council, *The Evaluation of Forensic DNA Evidence*. 1996.
- 338 38] Perlin, et al. “Linear Mixture Analysis: A Mathematical Approach to Resolving Mixed DNA
339 Samples”, *Journal of Forensic Science*, 2001, vol. 46(6), pp. 1372–1378.
- 340 39] “Statistical and Population Genetics Issues Affecting the Evaluation of the Frequency of
341 Occurrence of DNA Profiles Calculated From Pertinent Population Database(s).” DNA
342 Advisory Board. *Forensic Science Communications*, 2000, vol. 2, no. 3, pp 1–9.⁵
- 343 40] Steffen, S.R., M.D. Coble, K.B. Gettings, P.M. Vallone. (2017) Corrigendum to “U.S. Population
344 Data for 29 Autosomal STR Loci.” *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 2012, vol.7, pp. e82–
345 e83.
- 346 41] SWGDAM. SWGDAM Interpretation Guidelines for Autosomal and Y-STR Typing for Forensic
347 DNA Testing Laboratories⁶.

⁵ Available from <https://archives.fbi.gov/archives/about-us/lab/forensic-science-communications/fsc/july2000/dnastat.htm>

⁶ Available from: http://media.wix.com/ugd/4344b0_da25419ba2dd4363bc4e5e8fe7025882.pdf

- 348 42] Taylor, et al. "The interpretation of single source and mixed DNA profiles." *Forensic Science*
349 *International: Genetics*, 2013, vol. 7, pp. 516–528.
- 350 43] Triggs, et al. "The sensitivity of the Bayesian HPD method to the choice of prior." *Science and*
351 *Justice*, 2006, pp. 169–178.
- 352 44] Tvedebrink, T., J.A. Bright, J.S. Buckleton, J.M. Curran, N. Morling. "The effect of wild card
353 designations and rare alleles in forensic DNA database searches." *Forensic Science*
354 *International: Genetics*, 2015, vol. 16, pp. 98–104.
- 355 45] Walsh, B., Redd, A.J., and Hammer, M.F. "Joint match probabilities for Y chromosomal and
356 autosomal markers." *Forensic Science International*, 2008, vol. 174, pp. 234–238.
- 357 46] Wang, et al. "Least Square Deconvolution: A Framework for Interpreting Short Tandem Repeat
358 Mixtures." *Journal of Forensic Science*, 2006, vol. 51(6), pp. 1285–1294.
- 359 47] Weir, et al. "Interpreting DNA Mixtures." *Journal of Forensic Science*, 1997, vol. 42(2), pp. 213–
360 222.
- 361 48] Weir, B.S. *Variances of Estimated DNA Profile Probabilities*. North Carolina State University,
362 1999, pages 1–3.
- 363 49] Wenk, R. E. *Relationship Testing 1.0 (1st ed.)*. Bethesda, MD. AABB, 2018.

364



Academy Standards Board
410 North 21st Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board