ASB Best Practice Recommendation 122, First Edition 20232024

Best Practice Recommendation for Performing Alcohol Calculations in Forensic Toxicology



This document is copyrighted [©] by the AAFS Standards Board, LLC. 2023<u>2024</u> All rights are reserved. 410 North 21st Street, Colorado Springs, CO 80904, www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board

Best Practice Recommendation for Performing Alcohol Calculations in Forensic Toxicology

ASB Approved Xxxxx 20232024

ANSI Approved Xxxxx 20232024



410 North 21st Street Colorado Springs, CO 80904

This document may be downloaded from: <u>www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board</u>

This document is provided by the AAFS Academy Standards Board. Users are permitted to print and download the document and extracts from the document for personal use, however the following actions are prohibited under copyright:

- modifying this document or its related graphics in any way;
- using any illustrations or any graphics separately from any accompanying text; and,
- failing to include an acknowledgment alongside the copied material noting the AAFS Academy Standards Board as the copyright holder and publisher.

Users may not reproduce, duplicate, copy, sell, resell, or exploit for any commercial purposes this document or any portion of it. Users may create a hyperlink to <u>www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board</u> to allow persons to download their individual free copy of this document. The hyperlink must not portray AAFS, the AAFS Standards Board, this document, our agents, associates and affiliates in an offensive manner, or be misleading or false. ASB trademarks may not be used as part of a link without written permission from ASB.

The AAFS Standards Board retains the sole right to submit this document to any other forum for any purpose.

Certain commercial entities, equipment or materials may be identified in this document to describe a procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendations or endorsement by the AAFS or the AAFS Standards Board, nor is it intended to imply that the entities, materials, or equipment are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

Proper citation of ASB documents includes the designation, title, edition, and year of publication.

This document is copyrighted [©] by the AAFS Standards Board, LLC. 2023<u>2024</u> All rights are reserved. 410 North 21st Street, Colorado Springs, CO 80904, www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board

Foreword

Forensic toxicologists and other experts are frequently requested to perform calculations related to alcohol (ethanol), but there can be a high degree of variability in how this work is performed. Adherence to this best practice recommendation will improve the quality and consistency of this type of work and is intended to help mitigate cognitive bias. This best practice recommendation can be used by experts working in public or private laboratories or as independent forensic consultants; they can be applied to matters related to criminal and/or civil proceedings.

There are numerous factors that must be taken into consideration when providing estimates related to alcohol consumption and alcohol concentrations. Alcohol pharmacokinetics vary within the population, but also within an individual. A person's exact volume of distribution and elimination rate at a given time cannot be known. Alcohol results may or may not include measurement uncertainty. Other factors in the process, such as time and weight, may have unknown degrees of accuracy associated with them, depending on the source of the information. These factors do not prohibit reasonable estimates from being determined, but do require experts to be conservative, knowledgeable about the limitations, and thorough in their work.

The approach taken in this document is to provide a framework to conduct the calculations which result in a reasonable estimate of the range which encompasses the value of interest, and then apply that range to the question at hand with consideration of the assumptions that may or may not be made. For example, in a situation where there is a delay between the incident and the blood draw, an expert may be asked what the subject's blood alcohol concentration was at the time of the incident. Due to the factors discussed within this document, the science does not support being able to provide a single value. Rather an estimated range can be provided and applied to the case, while clearly stating any assumptions that may impact that application. The calculations are applied to an individual and since that individual may not be average, a range is considered most appropriate. Annex A illustrates how this approach can be applied in various scenarios.

Future editions of this document will work toward applying a statistical approach to the calculations. There are approaches in the literature that provide uncertainties for some of the variables contained within the calculations. For example, regarding elimination rate and volume of distribution, there is a significant amount of scientific literature that one may be able to use to reasonably estimate an average value with an associated uncertainty and level of confidence. The body of knowledge in peer reviewed literature is continually increasing and may eventually allow for estimations of the variances associated with additional parameters.

The American Academy of Forensic Sciences established the Academy Standards Board (ASB) in 2015 with a vision of safeguarding Justice, Integrity, and Fairness through Consensus Based American National Standards. To that end, the ASB develops consensus based forensic standards within a framework accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) and provides training to support those standards. ASB values integrity, scientific rigor, openness, due process, collaboration, excellence, diversity, and inclusion. ASB is dedicated to developing and making freely accessible the highest quality documentary forensic science consensus Standards, Guidelines, Best Practices, and Technical Reports in a wide range of forensic science disciplines as a service to forensic practitioners and the legal system.

ASB is accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) according to ANSI's "Essential Requirements: Due Process Requirements for American National Standards.¹ ASB documents are developed by volunteers working in Consensus Bodies (CBs) and Working Group (WGs) that conform to ANSI requirements of openness, transparency, due process, and consensus.

This document was revised, prepared, and finalized as a standard by the Toxicology Consensus Body of the AAFS Standards Board. A draft of this standard was developed by the Forensic Toxicology Subcommittee of the Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) for Forensic Science.

Questions, comments, and suggestions for the improvement of this document can be sent to ASB Secretariat, asb@aafs.org or 401 N 21st Street, Colorado Springs, CO 80904.

All hyperlinks and web addresses shown in this document are current as of the publication date of this standard.

ASB procedures are publicly available, free of cost, at <u>www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board</u>.

Keywords: alcohol (ethanol), retrograde extrapolation, pharmacokinetics

¹ Copyrighted by the American National Standards Institute, ANSI, New York, NY 2020

Table of Contents (to be finalized upon completion)

I

1	Scope		
2	Normative References		
3	Terms and Definitions		
4	Background Information		
5	Calculations		
6	Additional Considerations.		
Annex A Examples (informative)			
An	Annex B Bibliography (informative)		
An	Annex B Bibliography (informative)		

Best Practice Recommendation for Performing Alcohol Calculations in Forensic Toxicology

3 **1 Scope**

- 4 This document provides recommendations for performing alcohol (ethanol) calculations to include
- 5 retrograde extrapolation, forward estimations, minimum drinks consumed, and other scenarios.
- 6 Recommendations are also provided for evaluation of post absorptive stage, various specimen
- 7 types, population variances, and reporting of calculations.
- 8 The principles and practices outlined in this best practice recommendation may also apply to
- 9 postmortem scenarios, but there are additional variables to be considered that are outside the
 10 scene of this document.
- 10 scope of this document.
- 11 Expert opinions based on the results of these calculations are outside the scope of this document.

12 **2** Normative References

13 There are no normative references. Annex B, Bibliography, contains informative references.

14 **3 Terms and Definitions**

15 For purposes of this document, there are no terms and definitions.

16 4 Background Information

- 17 This section provides background information on the basic principles of alcohol pharmacokinetics
- 18 and the various factors that experts may need to consider when doing this type of work. It is not
- 19 intended to contain any requirements.

20 4.1 Alcohol Pharmacokinetics

21 4.1.1 General

- 22 The <u>Understanding the mechanisms of alcohol</u> absorption, distribution, and elimination of alcohol
- 23 throughout the body must be considered when is essential to performing alcohol calculations. It is
- 24 expected that persons performing this type of work have a thorough understanding of
- 25 pharmacokinetics, along with relevant education and experience. The following provides an
- 26 elementary overview of alcohol pharmacokinetics.

27 **4.1.2** Absorption

- 28 The absorption of alcohol is a complex dynamic process that begins as soon as drinking begins.
- 29 Alcohol is primarily absorbed into the bloodstream through the small intestine, but some
- 30 absorption occurs in the stomach and mouth. Absorption rates are highly variable and are not
- 31 linear. Factors such as the presence of food in the stomach, the type and volume of beverage
- 32 consumed, other drugs consumed, and the condition of the gastrointestinal tract, can impact
- absorption rates. Studies support that it can take up to 2 hours for complete absorption to reach the
- 34 <u>post absorptive phase</u> after the last drink ^[2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 12, 13, 15, 22, 30, 32]. The time needed to reach the peak

alcohol concentration is not the same as the time to complete absorption.reach the post absorptive
 phase.

37 4.1.3 Distribution

4.1.3.1 Alcohol is water soluble and rapidly distributed throughout the total body water by the 38 39 blood supply. For alcohol, the volume of distribution (Vd) is closely correlated with the total body water (some literature refers to this as *rho*). Numerous factors impact an individual's Vd including 40 41 sex, body mass index (BMI), and age. In general, Vd is typically lower for women, obese individuals, 42 and the elderly. Numerous publications propose mathematical approaches to estimate an 43 individual's Vd based on certain factors (height, weight, sex), and attempt to provide ranges for the Vd of alcohol^[4, 21, 26, 29, 31]. However, there are significant limitations to these studies. For example, 44 45 the number of participants in many studies is quite small, and the ethnic diversity is often unknown. There are also differences in whether Vd or total body water (TBW) were measured. 46 47 Some involved bolus drinking, while others used a social drinking scenario. Alcohol concentration 48 may have been measured in whole blood, serum, plasma, or breath. Therefore, caution mustit may 49 not be used when comparing, appropriate to directly compare or attempting to average, these 50 various formulas since they do not all calculate the same variable.

4.1.3.2 Due to the high variability within the population, the use of a single fixed Vd is

inappropriate. Research supports a Vd range of 0.45-0.81 L/kg, or specifically 0.58-0.83 L/kg for
 males and 0.43-0.73 L/kg for females ⁽¹⁷⁾. These values represent a 95 percent range for each data

54 set.

4.1.3.3 Alternatively, an individual's Vd may be estimated using anthropometric calculations when sex, weight, age (males), and height are known. The equations derived by Watson ⁽³⁰⁾ and

57 Maskell ^(16, 19), along with the variability, are considered the best approaches at this time. These

calculations estimate the TBW and Vd for an individual and the respective variances (see 5.2.2).

59 Since there are physiological limitations to the minimum TBW, calculation results should be

60 evaluated carefully, and caution should be applied when results are below 30 L for males and 23 L

61 for females ^[18]. The anthropometric calculations refer to male/female as the sex assigned at birth.

These calculations may be impacted by gender affirming hormone therapy in transgender
 individuals^[20].

64 **4.1.4** Elimination

4.1.4.1 Alcohol is primarily eliminated via enzyme metabolism in the liver; however, a small
amount is removed through first pass metabolism or excreted unchanged in the breath, sweat, oral
fluid, and urine. Alcohol is eliminated at a constant, linear rate (zero order kinetics) until low
concentrations are reached.

4.1.4.2 An elimination rate range of 0.010-0.025 g/dL/hour encompasses the majority of the
population regardless of age, sex, ethnicity, and drinking experience ^[7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 23, 25, 27, 32].

4.1.4.3 At concentrations below 0.020 g/dL, the elimination rate may not be linear as zero order
 kinetics may no longer apply ^[1, 9].

4.1.4.4 The expert needs to consider if linear elimination rate only applies when the subject is in
the post-absorptive state when applying the linear elimination rate phase.

75 4.2 Case History

I

4.2.1 The type of information and source of that information will vary from case to case. Experts
should clearly communicate the information they rely upon and the assumptions they make. On
occasion, that information may change as the case proceeds.

4.2.2 The time of the incident and the timing of drinking both play a role in the assumptions that
can be made and the associated calculations. For example, the time of last drink based on video
surveillance may be considered differently than a time based on the subject's self-reported drinking
history. This may impact the assessment of whether the subject was post absorptive at the time of
the incident.

- **4.2.3** When there is evidence of the type of beverage consumed, it may be appropriate to calculate the number of drinks based on that information. However, in other situations, it may be more appropriate to reference a "standard drink" (see 4.5), such as when there is no history or the
- 87 subject consumed unknown quantities of various types of drinks.

88 4.3 Specimen Considerations

4.3.1 Serum and plasma have a higher water content than whole blood. Research supports a 95 percent range for a serum or plasma to whole blood ratio of 1.13-1.19 weight/weight⁽¹⁴⁾. Using a density conversion of 1.03 g/mL for serum and 1.06 g/mL for whole blood⁽¹⁴⁾, this translates to a weight/volume range of 1.09-1.16.⁽¹⁴⁾.

4.3.2 The alcohol concentration of urine is influenced by hydration and time since last void.
Results from urine alcohol testing, including urine results that have been converted to a whole
blood equivalent, are not amenable to extrapolation.

96 4.4 Propagation of Uncertainty

97 The variance and distribution for all parameters used in the calculations have not been fully

98 characterized in the scientific literature at this point. Therefore, as an initial best practice

99 recommendation, a statistical approach incorporating the uncertainties for each of the parameters

100 is not presented. This guideline does not prohibit the expert from applying accepted statistical

101 models within the calculations. These calculations should be clearly presented, with references or

stated assumptions for the associated uncertainties and the method of evaluating the uncertainty.

103 If known, the range associated with the measurement uncertainty of the test result may be104 incorporated.

105 4.5 Standard Drink

106 A "standard drink" may be defined as a beverage containing approximately 14 grams of alcohol ^[24].

107	e.g.,	12 oz, 5% beer
108		5 oz, 12% wine
109		1.5 oz, 80 proof liquor (40%)

110 4.6 English/Metric Conversions (if applicable)

- 111 The sources of information may be received in English and/or metric units, and conversions are 112 typically required.
- 113 Volume: 1 oz = 29.6 mL
- 114 Weight: 1 lb = 0.454 kg
- 115 Height: 1 in = 2.54 cm or 0.0254 m

116 4.7 Density of Alcohol

117 The density of alcohol is 0.789 g/mL

118 **5** Calculations

I

- 119 The formulas presented here are designed to illustrate the mathematical relationships for the
- 120 calculations. In practice, the layout of each formula and the abbreviations used may vary; multiple
- 121 steps in the calculations may be combined into one equation.

122 5.1 Alcohol Test Results

- **5.1.1** Calculations presented are for blood (g/dL); however, they can also be applied to breath
 (g/210L<u>210 L</u>).
- 5.1.2 Serum and plasma results shall be converted to a whole blood equivalent prior to othercalculations.
- 127 **5.1.2.1** The range should be 1.0913-1.16 (weight/volume)19 serum (or plasma) to blood ratio.

5.1.2.2 Further calculations shall then be applied to both converted <u>AC resultsalcohol</u>
 <u>concentrations</u>.

- **5.1.3** Retrograde extrapolation shall not be performed based on urine alcohol results, even those converted to a whole blood equivalent.
- 132 5.2 Volume of Distribution (Vd)
- 133 **5.2.1** A range shall be applied for Vd.

5.2.2 If a fixed Vd range based on sex is used, 0.58-0.83 L/kg for males and 0.43-0.73 L/kg for
females should be used. For a fixed Vd, independent of sex, a range of 0.45-0.81 L/kg should be
used.

- **5.2.3** If an individualized Vd is applied, the following calculations should be used:
- **138 5.2.3.1** Calculate TBW from Watson, et al⁽³¹⁾:
- 139 $TBW (male) = 2.447 (0.09516 \times a) + (0.1074 \times h) + (0.3362 \times w)$ (1a)
- 140 $TBW (female) = -2.097 + (0.1069 \times h) + (0.2466 \times w)$ (1b)

141	where:	
142	TBW = total body water (L)	
143	a = age (years)	
144	h = height (cm)	
145	w = weight (kg)	
146	5.2.3.2 Calculate the individual Vd from Maskell, et al ^(16, 19) :	
147	$Vd \ (male) = \frac{TBW}{w \times 0.825}$	(2a)
148	$Vd (female) = \frac{TBW}{W \times 0.838}$	(2b)
149	where:	
150	Vd = volume of distribution (L/kg)	
151	TBW = total body water (L)	
152	w = weight (kg)	
153	5.2.3.3 Apply the ± %CV from Maskell, Cooper ⁽¹⁶⁾ :	
154	$Vd (male) = Vd \pm (Vd \times 9.86\%)$	(3a)
155	$Vd (female) = Vd \pm (Vd \times 15.00\%)$	(3b)
156	5.3 Widmark's Formula	
157 158	5.3.1 The relationship between a dose of alcohol and a resulting alcohol concent expressed as:	tration shall be
159 160	$\frac{AC - D}{Vd * w}AC = \frac{D}{Vd \times w}$ where:	(4)
161	AC = alcohol concentration (g/L)	
162	D = dose(g)	

- 163 Vd = volume of distribution (L/kg)
- 164 w = weight (kg)

165 Variations of the formula can be applied to several common scenarios.

(5)

(6)

- 166 Estimating the minimum number of drinks to achieve a particular alcohol concentration may be
- used to support or refute a particular drinking history, or to establish that someone could not have
- 168 consumed less than that amount of alcohol.
- 169 **5.3.2** Theoretical minimum number of drinks to achieve a particular alcohol concentration.
- 170 This calculation does *not* account for any drinks eliminated. It provides an estimate of the
- equivalent dose of alcohol in the body at the time of the blood draw or breath test. See A.1.1 for
- 172 example.

I

- 173 Minimum dose of alcohol
- 174 $D = AC \times Vd \times w \times 10\frac{dL}{L}$
- 175 where:
- 176 D = dose(g)
- 177 AC = alcohol concentration (g/dL)
- 178 *Vd* = volume of distribution (L/kg)

т

- 179 w = weight (kg)
- 180 Using the calculated dose to estimate the minimum number of "drinks" when beverage
- 181 concentration is known.

182
$$V = \frac{D}{C \times \rho \times}$$

- 183 where:
- 184 *V* = volume (oz)
- 185 D = dose(g)
- 186 *C* = beverage concentration (mL/100mL100 mL)
- 187 ρ = density of ethanol (0.789 g/mL)
- 188 m = metric conversion (29.6 mL/oz)
- 189 The calculated volume can be converted to the equivalent number of drinks, depending on the type
- of drink. For example, if the subject was drinking 12 oz beers, a volume of 37 oz would beequivalent to approximately 3 beers.
- **5.3.3** Maximum alcohol concentration that could theoretically be achieved from a given dose.
- 193 These calculations provide the maximum alcohol concentration attainable from a reported number
- 194 of consumed drinks. They are used to support or refute a particular drinking history. The
- 195 calculations are used to attempt to answer the question: "If someone had X number of drinks, could

(7)

(9)

they have reached the measured alcohol concentration?" The calculated results can also provide 196

information to account for potentially unabsorbed alcohol or post incident alcohol consumption. 197

198 Dose of alcohol from a drink
199
$$D = V \times C \times \rho \times m$$

I

200

- 201 D = dose (g)
- volume (oz) 202 V =
- 203 С beverage concentration (mL/100mL100 mL) =
- density of ethanol (0.789 g/mL)204 ρ =
- metric conversion (29.6 mL/oz) 205 т =
- 206 Theoretical maximum alcohol concentration from a given drink(s)
- 207 This calculation provides the *theoretical* maximum alcohol concentration. It assumes full absorption 208 with no elimination. See A.1.2 for example.

209
$$AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}}$$
(8)

210 where:

211 $AC_{drink(s)} = \max alcohol concentration (g/dL) from a drink(s)$

dose (g) 212 D =

volume of distribution (L/kg) 213 Vd =

214 weight (kg) w =

5.3.4 Alcohol eliminated during the drinking timeline may be further considered if necessary or 215 applicable. 216

Retrograde Extrapolation 217 5.4

5.4.1 Retrograde extrapolation is a mathematical process that uses an alcohol concentration at a 218 given point in time and estimates what the concentration would have been at an earlier time. It is 219 not possible to calculate the exact alcohol concentration at an earlier point in time, but an 220 estimation in the form of a concentration range can be provided. 221

222 **5.4.2** The basic calculation for retrograde extrapolation shall be expressed as:

223
$$AC_{inc} = AC_{test} + \frac{(R \times T)}{(\beta \times T)}$$

224	where:
225	AC_{inc} = estimated alcohol concentration at the time of the incident (g/dL)
226	AC_{test} = measured alcohol concentration (g/dL)
227	$R\beta$ = elimination rate (g/dL/hour)
228	<i>T</i> = time between incident and time of breath test/blood draw (hours)
229 230	5.4.3 Retrograde extrapolation calculations shall not be performed on alcohol concentrations below 0.020 g/dL.
231	5.4.4 The calculation shall be performed using a range of elimination rates.
232	5.4.4.1 The minimalminimum range shall be 0.010-0.025 g/dL/hour.
233 234	5.4.5 An elimination rate calculated from two or more test results shall not be used in place of a range.
235	5.4.6 The impact of potentially unabsorbed alcohol shall be addressed.
236 237	5.4.6.1 If the time of incident is more than 2 hours after the time of drinking cessation, it is reasonable to assume the subject is post absorptive. See A.2 for example.
238 239 240	5.4.6.2 When the drinking history is unknown, it is not reasonable to assume that the subject is post absorptive. Additional calculations should be applied to assess the impact of potentially unabsorbed alcohol. See A.5 for example.
241 242	5.4.6.3 If case history indicates that alcohol was consumed after the incident, but before the sample was obtained, this shall be accounted for in the estimates.
243 244 245 246	5.4.6.4 An option to account for unabsorbed alcohol or post incident alcohol consumption is to subtract the impact of those drinks from the estimated post absorptive alcohol concentrations (determined from Equation 9). See Equation 8 to calculate the maximum AC contribution from a drink.
247	$Adjusted AC_{inc} = AC_{inc} - AC_{drink(s)} $ (10)
248	where:
249 250	Adjusted <i>AC_{inc}</i> = estimated AC at time of the incident, accounting for potentially unabsorbed alcohol or post incident alcohol consumption
251 252	AC _{inc} = estimated AC at time of the incident if subject were in post absorptive state (calculated from Equation 9)
253	$AC_{drink(s)}$ = maximum AC contribution from drink(s) (calculated from Equation 8)
254	Reference A.3 for an example where the subject is not post absorptive. See A.4 for an example of

255 addressing post incident alcohol consumption.

256 6 Additional Considerations

257 6.1 Documentation

Calculations should be documented, and assumptions clearly stated. This may be in the form of case
 notes, an electronic spreadsheet, a written report, etc.

260 6.2 Protocols

I

- 261 Written protocols should be in place to ensure the forensic service provider applies a consistent
- 262 methodology to the calculations. Protocols may also include requirements for documentation,
- 263 reporting, and reviews.

264 6.3 Technical Review

265 Where feasible, independent review of calculations by a qualified individual should be done.

266 6.4 Calculations During Testimony

267 Performing alcohol calculations is a forensic service request and should not be viewed as just a

- 268 question during direct or cross examination, or "simple math" that the expert should be able to
- 269 readily perform. While the expert must respectfully follow the orders of the legal authorities
- overseeing the testimony (trial, deposition, etc.), performing calculations during live testimony is
- discouraged due to the inherent risks. When so compelled, it is recommended that the witness
- document the additional work. Depending on the scope of the new work requested and its
- complexity, the expert may consider requesting a brief recess to perform the work and allow for its
- review. In some circumstances, it may be appropriate to discuss the *impact* a change would have on
- the calculations, instead of conducting new calculations, e.g., if the subject's drinking history
- changes, one could state that it would raise or lower the estimated AC range provided, without
- 277 calculating the new range.
- 278

279	Annex A
279	Annex A

(informative)

Examples

282 NOTE This Annex is intended to provide illustrative examples to apply the recommendations contained

within the document; it does not represent the only way the recommendations may be applied or presented.

For accuracy in the text, rounding was performed at each step. Numbers may vary slightly when calculations
 are performed using a spreadsheet and rounding is not applied until the end. Summary statements are

intended to succinctly summarize the results of the calculations. They are not intended to provide examples

of expert opinions that may be involved in casework.

288 A.1 Support/refute drinking history

- 289 *History:* A male subject was pulled over for suspected impaired driving. He had an evidential breath
- test result of 0.19 g/210 L. He stated he had been at a local bar for the last 3 hours and only had 2
- 291 pints of Brand X beer. He ate chicken wings and french fries.
- 292 *Question:* Is the stated drinking history consistent with the alcohol concentration (AC) result?
- This can be answered two different ways: by calculating the minimum number of drinks needed to attain a certain AC, or by calculating the maximum AC attainable from a drinking history.
- 295 Relevant Information:

280

281

- 296 The subject is male, 6'1", 230 lbs, 32 years old
- 297 Evidential breath test: 0.19 g/210 L
- Alcohol content of Brand X beer ~4.3% [cite reference for that brand's alcohol content (e.g., manufacturer's website and access date, published reference)]
- 300 1 pint = 16 oz
- 301 *Calculations:*
- 302 Weight conversion: $w = 230 \ lbs \times 0.454 \ \frac{kg}{lbs} = 104 \ kg$
- Height conversion: h = 73 in $\times 2.54 \frac{cm}{in} = 185$ cm
- A.1.1 What is the minimum number of drinks needed to reach a 0.19 g/210 L alcoholconcentration?
- 306 a) Calculate with a fixed Vd range
- 307 Using Equation 5 and a Vd range for males of 0.58-0.83 L/kg, calculate the dose needed:
- 308 $D = AC \times Vd \times w \times 10\frac{dL}{L}$ $D = AC \times Vd \times w \times 10\frac{dL}{L}$
- 309 $D = 0.19 \frac{g}{dL} \times 0.58 \frac{L}{kg} \times 104 kg \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}$ $D = 0.19 \frac{g}{dL} \times 0.83 \frac{L}{kg} \times 104 kg \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}$

310
$$D = 115 g$$
 $D = 164 g$

311 Using Equation 6, calculate the equivalent number of drinks for that dose:

312
$$V = \frac{D}{C \times \rho \times m}$$
 $V = \frac{D}{C \times \rho \times m}$
313 $V = \frac{115g}{4.3\frac{mL}{100mL} \times 0.789\frac{g}{mL} \times 29.6\frac{mL}{oz}}$ $V = \frac{164g}{4.3\frac{mL}{100mL} \times 0.789\frac{g}{mL} \times 29.6\frac{mL}{oz}}$
314 $V = 115 \text{ oz}$ $V = 163 \text{ oz}$
315 $Drinks = 115 \text{ oz} / 16 \text{ oz} = 7.2 \text{ pints}$ $Drinks = 163 \text{ oz} / 16 \text{ oz} = 10.2 \text{ pints}$

Summary: The subject's stated drinking history is inconsistent with the breath test result. He had the
equivalent of ~7 - 10 pints of Brand X beer in his system at the time of the test.

b) Calculate with an individualized Vd

319 Using Equation 1a, calculate the TBW:

320
$$TBW (male) = 2.447 - (0.09516 \times a) + (0.1074 \times h) + (0.3362 \times w)$$

321
$$TBW (male) = 2.447 - (0.09516 \times 32) + (0.1074 \times 185) + (0.3362 \times 104)$$

322
$$TBW (male) = 54.2$$

323 Using Equation 2a, calculate the Vd:

324
$$Vd (male) = \frac{TBW}{W \times 0.825}$$

325
$$Vd (male) = \frac{54.2}{104 \times 0.825}$$

327 Using Equation 3a, apply the %CV:

328
$$Vd (male) = Vd \pm (Vd \times 9.86\%)$$

329
$$Vd (male) = 0.63 \pm (0.63 \times 9.86\%)$$

330
$$Vd (male) = 0.63 \pm 0.06 = 0.57 - 0.69 L/kg$$

Using Equation 5 and a Vd of 0.57- 0.69 L/kg, calculate the dose needed:

332	$D = AC \times Vd \times w \times 10^{\frac{dL}{L}}$	$D = AC \times Vd \times w \times 10^{\frac{dL}{L}}$
333	$D = 0.19 \frac{g}{dL} \times 0.57 \frac{L}{kg} \times 104 kg \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}$	$D = 0.19 \frac{g}{dL} \times 0.69 \frac{L}{kg} \times 104 kg \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}$
334	D = 113 g	D = 136 g

Using Equation 6, calculate the equivalent number of drinks for that dose:

336
$$V = \frac{D}{C \times \rho \times m}$$
 $V = \frac{D}{C \times \rho \times m}$

337
$$V = \frac{113g}{4.3\frac{mL}{100mL} \times 0.789\frac{g}{mL} \times 29.6\frac{mL}{oz}} \qquad V = \frac{136g}{4.3\frac{mL}{100mL} \times 0.789\frac{g}{mL} \times 29.6\frac{mL}{oz}}$$

- 338 V = 113 oz V = 135 oz
- 339 Drinks = 113 oz / 16 oz = 7.1 pints Drinks = 135 oz / 16 oz = 8.4 pints
- Summary: The subject's stated drinking history is inconsistent with the breath test result. He had the
 equivalent of ~7 8½ pints of Brand X beer in his system at the time of the test.
- 342 A.1.2 What is maximum AC that could be reached from 2 pints of Brand X beer?
- 343 Using Equation 7, calculate the dose from 2 pints of Brand X beer:

$$344 D = V \times C \times \rho \times m$$

345
$$D = 32oz \times 4.3 \frac{mL}{100mL} \times 0.789 \frac{g}{mL} \times 29.6 \frac{mL}{oz}$$

- D = 32 g alcohol in 2 pints of Brand X
- a) Calculate with a fixed Vd range
- Using Equation 8 and a Vd range for males of 0.58-0.83 L/kg, calculate the maximum AC range this
 dose could theoretically reach:

350
$$AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}}$$
$$AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}}$$
$$AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{32g}{0.58\frac{L}{kg} \times 104kg \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}}$$
$$AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{32}{0.83\frac{L}{kg} \times 104kg \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}}$$
$$AC_{drink(s)} = 0.053 g/dL$$
$$AC_{drink(s)} = 0.037 g/dL$$

Summary: The subject's stated drinking history is inconsistent with the breath test result. If all the alcohol in 2 pints of Brand X were completely absorbed, and none eliminated, the maximum AC range achievable for the subject would be $\sim 0.037 - 0.053$ g/dL.

- b) Calculate with an individualized Vd
- Using Equation 8 and a Vd range of 0.57 0.69 L/kg (see A.1.1.b for calculation), calculate the
 maximum range of ACs this dose could theoretically reach:

359
$$AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}} \qquad AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}}$$

360
$$AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{32g}{0.57\frac{L}{kg} \times 104kg \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}} \qquad AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{32g}{0.69\frac{L}{kg} \times 104kg \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}}$$

361 $AC_{drink(s)} = 0.054 g/dL$ $AC_{drink(s)} = 0.045 g/dL$

362 Summary: The subject's stated drinking history is inconsistent with the breath test result. If all the

alcohol in 2 pints of Brand X were completely absorbed, and none eliminated, the maximum AC range

achievable for the subject would be $\sim 0.045 - 0.054 \text{ g/dL}$.

365 A.2 Retrograde extrapolation, subject is post absorptive

History: A woman was drinking wine at an out-of-town wedding. She left the wedding at 6:00 pm

and had a five-hour drive home. At approximately 9:00 pm she crossed over the center line and

368 crashed into an oncoming vehicle. She was injured and transported to the hospital; a blood kit was
 369 collected at 11:45 pm. The result of the blood test was 0.068 g/dL. There were no alcoholic

beverages in the vehicle. She stated she had not had anything to drink since leaving the wedding.

- 371 *Question:* Was she above the 0.08 legal limit at the time of the crash?
- 372 *Relevant Information:*
- The subject is female, 5'3", 125 lbs, 45 years old
- 374 Blood alcohol: 0.068 g/dL at 11:45 pm
- 375 Incident: 9:00 pm
- 376 Assumptions:
- 377 Since there were at least 3 hours between the end of drinking and the incident, the subject is378 assumed to be post absorptive.
- 379 No post-<u>incident alcohol consump</u>tion.
- 380 *Calculations:*
- 381 Elapsed Time = 9:00 pm to 11:45 pm = 2.75 hours
- Using Equation 9 and an elimination rate range of 0.010 0.025 g/dL/hour, calculate AC range
 at time of incident:

$$AC_{inc} = AC_{test} + (R \times T) - (\beta \times T) \qquad AC_{inc} = AC_{test} + (R\beta \times T)$$

$$AC_{inc} = 0.068\frac{g}{dL} + (0.010\frac{g}{dL}/hour \times 2.75 hours) \left(\frac{0.010\frac{g}{dL}}{hour} \times 2.75 hours\right) \qquad AC_{inc} =$$

$$0.068\frac{g}{dL} + (0.025\frac{g}{dL}/hour 2.75 hours) \left(\frac{0.025\frac{g}{dL}}{hou} \times 2.75 hours\right)$$

$$AC_{inc} = 0.096\frac{g}{dL} \qquad AC_{inc} = 0.137\frac{g}{dL}$$

Summary: It is estimated that the subject's AC at the time of the incident was ~0.096 - 0.137 g/dL.
 Therefore, it is likely the subject was above the 0.08 g/dL legal limit at the time of the incident.

390 A.3 Retrograde extrapolation, subject is not post absorptive

391 *History:* A female subject was drinking at a bar. She stopped drinking around 10:00 pm. When she

392 was ready to leave, she paid her tab and got one last shot<u>of tequila</u>. She drank it and immediately

left the bar at ~11:00 pm. She crashed her car while trying to leave the parking lot. Her blood was drawn at 12:30 am and was a 0.082 g/dL. Her defense is that she was below 0.08 g/dL at the time of

- drawn at 12:30 am and was a 0.082 g/dL. Her defense is that she was below 0.08 g/dL at the time of the crash.
- 395 the crash.
- 396 *Question:* Could the subject's AC have been under 0.08 g/dL at the time of the crash?
- 397 *Relevant Information:*
- 398 The subject is female, 5'8", 160 lbs, 22 years old
- Blood alcohol content: 0.082 g/dL at 12:30 am
- 400 Incident: 11:00 pm
- 401 80 proof = 40% alcohol concentration
- 402 Assumptions:
- The alcohol from the last shot of tequila was not completely absorbed at the time of theincident.
- 405 Tequila is typically ~80 proof.
- 406 Calculations:
- 407 Elapsed Time = 11:00 pm to 12:30 am = 1.5 hours
- 408 Weight conversion: $w = 160 \ lbs \times 0.454 \ \frac{kg}{lbs} = 73 \ kg$
- 409 Height conversion: $h = 68 \text{ in } \times 2.54 \frac{cm}{in} = 173 \text{ cm}$
- Using Equation 9 and an elimination rate range of 0.010 0.025 g/dL/hour, calculate AC range
 at the time of incident, if the subject were post absorptive:

412
413

$$AC_{inc} = AC_{test} + \frac{(R \times T)}{(R \times T)} (\beta \times T)$$

$$AC_{inc} = AC_{test} + \frac{(R \times T)}{(R \times T)} (\beta \times T)$$

$$AC_{inc} = 0.082 \frac{g}{dL} + \frac{(0.010 \frac{g}{dL} / hour \times 1.5 hours)}{(m + 1.5 hours)} (\frac{0.010 \frac{g}{dL}}{hour} \times 1.5 hours)$$

$$AC_{inc} = 0.082 \frac{g}{dL} + \frac{(0.025 \frac{g}{dL} / hour \times 1.5 hours)}{(m + 1.5 hours)} (\frac{0.025 \frac{g}{dL}}{m + 1.5 hours})$$

$$(-1002_{aL} + (0.023_{aL}) + (0.023_{aL}) + (0.023_{aL}) + (0.023_{aL}) + (0.0013) + ($$

416 $AC_{inc} = 0.097 \frac{g}{dL}$ $AC_{inc} = 0.120 \frac{g}{dL}$

- 417 Using Equation 7, calculate the dose of alcohol from a shot of tequila:
- 418 $D = V \times C \times \rho \times m$

419
$$D = 1.5oz \times 40 \frac{mL}{100mL} \times 0.789 \frac{g}{mL} \times 29.6 \frac{mL}{oz}$$

420 D = 14 g alcohol in a shot of tequila

421 Using Equations 1b, 2b, and 3b, calculate an individualized Vd range:

422
$$Vd (female) = \frac{-2.097 + (0.1069 \times h) + (0.2466 \times w)}{w \, x \, 0.838} \pm 15\%$$

423
$$Vd (female) = \frac{-2.097 + (0.1069 \times 173) + (0.2466 \times 73)}{73 x \ 0.838} \pm 15\%$$

424
$$Vd (female) = 0.56 L/kg \pm 15\% = 0.48 - 0.64 L/kg$$

Using Equation 8 and a Vd range of 0.48 - 0.64 L/kg, calculate the maximum AC a tequila shot
 could contribute:

427
$$AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}} \qquad AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}}$$

428
$$AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{14}{0.48\frac{L}{kg} \times 73kg \times 10\frac{dL}{L}} \qquad AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{14g}{0.64\frac{L}{kg} \times 73kg \times 10\frac{dL}{L}}$$

429
$$AC_{drink(s)} = 0.040g/dL \qquad AC_{drink(s)} = 0.030g/dL$$

Using Equation 10, adjust the AC to remove the theoretical maximum contribution the last
 tequila shot could have contributed (using the calculated ranges of AC_{inc} and AC_{drink(s)}):

432Adjusted
$$AC_{inc} = AC_{inc} - AC_{drink(s)}$$
Adjusted $AC_{inc} = AC_{inc} - AC_{drink(s)}$ 433Adjusted $AC_{inc} = 0.097 - 0.040$ Adjusted $AC_{inc} = 0.120 - 0.030$ 434Adjusted $AC_{inc} = 0.057 \text{ g/dL}$ Adjusted $AC_{inc} = 0.090 \text{ g/dL}$

435 Summary: Assuming the last shot of tequila was not absorbed at the time of the incident, the subject's

436 AC at that time is estimated to be $\sim 0.057 - 0.090 \text{ g/dL}$. Therefore, it is possible she was below the 0.08

437 g/dL legal limit at the time of the incident. Further, since the initial drinking event ended

438 approximately one hour before the incident, there may be additional unabsorbed alcohol, which would

439 *further lower the estimated range.*

440 A.4 Post Incident Consumption

History: A man drove his vehicle through his garage door at ~6:00 pm. A neighbor witnessed the
crash and called the police. When the police arrived at the home, the subject greeted them with a
partially consumed bottle of vodka in his hand (80 proof, 750 mL), and he appeared to be
intoxicated. He was arrested for suspected DUI and had a breath test result of 0.215 g/210L210 L.
The defendant claimed he had not been drinking prior to the crash, and that his AC was from the

446 vodka consumption after the crash. He claimed it was a new bottle; approximately one-third was

447 missing.

⁴⁴⁸ *Question:* Could the consumption of $\sim 1/3$ bottle of vodka account for the measured AC?

- 450 The subject is male, 5'10", 210 lbs, 55 years old
- 451 Breath test result: 0.215 g/210L210 L
- 452 80 proof = 40% alcohol concentration
- 453 Calculations:
- 454 Weight conversion: $w = 210 \ lbs \times 0.454 \ \frac{kg}{lbs} = 95 \ kg$
- 455 Height conversion: $h = 70 \text{ in } \times 2.54 \frac{cm}{in} = 178 \text{ cm}$

456 Amount consumed =
$$750 \ mL \ x \ \frac{1}{3} = 250 \ mL$$

457 Using Equation 7, calculate the dose of alcohol from the vodka

458
$$D = V \times C \times \rho$$
 (metric conversion not needed)

459
$$D = 250mL \times 40 \frac{mL}{100mL} \times 0.789 \frac{g}{mL}$$

460
$$D = 79 g$$
 alcohol in $\frac{1}{3}$ bottle of vodka

461 Using Equations 1a, 2a, and 3a, calculate an individualized Vd range:

462
$$Vd (male) = \frac{2.447 - (0.09516 \times a) + (0.1074 \times h) + (0.3362 \times w)}{w \times 0.825} \pm 9.86\%$$

463
$$Vd (male) = \frac{2.447 - (0.09516 \times 55) + (0.1074 \times 178) + (0.3362 \times 95)}{95 \times 0.825} \pm 9.86\%$$

Using Equation 8 and a Vd range of 0.56 - 0.68 L/kg, calculate the maximum AC the vodka could
 contribute:

467
$$AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}} \qquad AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}}$$

468
$$AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{79g}{0.56\frac{L}{kg} \times 95kg \times 10\frac{dL}{L}} \qquad AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{79g}{0.68\frac{L}{kg} \times 95kg \times 10\frac{dL}{L}}$$
469
$$AC_{drink(s)} = 0.148 g/dL \qquad AC_{drink(s)} = 0.122 g/dL$$

470 *Summary:* If all the alcohol from the 1/3 bottle of vodka were completely absorbed, and none

- eliminated, the theoretical maximum AC range achievable for the subject would be $\sim 0.122 0.148$
- 472 g/dL, below the breath test result of 0.215 g/210L210 L. The subject's drinking history is
- 473 inconsistent; there was likely additional alcohol consumption.



Using Equation 8 and a Vd range for females of 0.43-0.73 L/kg, calculate the maximum AC a
"standard" drink could contribute:

498
$$AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}} \qquad AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}}$$
499
$$AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{14}{0.43 \frac{L}{kg} \times 73 kg \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}} \qquad AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{14g}{0.73 \frac{L}{kg} \times 73 kg \times 10 \frac{dL}{L}}$$

17

$AC_{drink(s)} = 0.045 g/uL$ $AC_{drink(s)} = 0.020 g/u$	500	$AC_{drink(s)} = 0.045 g/dL$	$AC_{drink(s)} = 0.026 g/d$
--	-----	------------------------------	-----------------------------

501 Using Equation 10, adjust the AC to remove the number of drinks that would have to be
502 unabsorbed to have the subject be below the legal limit at the time of the crash (using the
503 calculated ranges of AC_{inc} and AC_{drink(s)}):

504 Adjusted $AC_{inc} = AC_{inc} - AC_{drink(s)}$

505	Estimated AC @ 1:00am	0.010 rate		0.025 rate	
506	Post absorptive (AC _{inc})	0.095	0.095	0.125	0.125
507	AC _{drink(s)} (Vd 0.43-0.73 L/kg)	0.045	0.026	0.045	0.026
508	-1 drink unabsorbed	0.050	0.069	0.080	0.099
509	-2 drinks unabsorbed			0.035	0.073
540					

510

I

- 511 *Summary*: If the subject was post absorptive at the time of the incident, the estimated AC at that
- time would be $\sim 0.095 0.125$ g/dL, so she was likely above the 0.08 g/dL legal limit at that time.
- 513 However, if the subject had the equivalent of $\sim 1 2$ standard drinks unabsorbed at the time of the
- 514 incident, she could have been below the 0.08 g/dL legal limit.

515

516

517		Annex B
518		(informative)
519		Bibliography
520 521 522	The lite ado	e following bibliography is not intended to be an all-inclusive list, review, or endorsement of grature on this topic. The goal of the bibliography is to provide examples of publications dressed in the standard.
523 524	1]	Caplan, Y. (Ed.) and B. Goldberger (Ed.). "Garriott's Medicolegal Aspects of Alcohol." 6th ed. <i>Lawyers & Judges Publication Company, Inc,</i> 2014.
525 526	2]	Charlton, S.G. and N.J. Starkey. "Driver Risk from Blood Alcohol Levels Between 50mg/100ml and 80mg/100ml." <i>NZ Transport Agency Research Report</i> 541, 63 pp., 2013 ^b .
527 528 529	3]	Cowan, J.M., A. Weathermon, J.R. McCutcheon, and R.D. Oliver. "Determination of Volume of Distribution for Ethanol in Male and Female Subjects." <i>Journal of Analytical Toxicology</i> , 20(5), 1996, pp. 287-290. doi: 10.1093/jat/20.5.287.
530 531	4]	Forrest, A. "The Estimation of Widmark's Factor." <i>Journal of the Forensic Science Society</i> , 26(4), 1986, pp. 249-252, doi: 10.1016/s0015-7368(86)72491-2.
532 533 534	5]	Ganert, P.M. and W.D. Bowthorpe. "Evaluation of Breath Alcohol Profiles Following a Period of Social Drinking." <i>Canadian Society of Forensic Science Journal</i> , 33(3), 2000 pp. 137-144, doi: 10.1080/00085030.2000.10757509.
535 536 537	6]	Jakus, J.T. and N.K. Shajani, and B.A. Image. "Consumption of a Large Dose of Alcohol in a Short Time Span." <i>Forensic Science International</i> , 56(2), 1992, pp. 113-125, doi: 10.1016/0379-0738(92)90169-w.
538 539	7]	Jones, A.W. "Pharmacokinetics of Ethanol – Issues of Forensic Importance." <i>Forensic Science Review</i> , 23(2), 2011, pp. 91-136.
540 541 542	8]	Jones, A.W. "Disappearance Rate of Ethanol from the Blood of Human Subjects: Implications in Forensic Toxicology." <i>Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 38(1), 1993, pp. 104-118, doi: 10.1520/jfs13382J.
543 544	9]	Jones, A.W. "Evidence-based Survey of the Elimination Rates of Ethanol from Blood." <i>Forensic Science International</i> , 200(1-3), 2010, pp. 1-20, doi: 10.1016/j.forsciint.2010.02.021.
545 546	10]	Jones, A.W. "Interindividual Variations in the Disposition and Metabolism of Ethanol in Healthy Men." <i>Alcohol</i> , 1(5), 1984, pp. 385-391, doi: 10.1016/0741-8329(84)90008-9.
547 548 549	11]	Jones, A.W. and L. Andersson. "Influence of Age, Gender, and Blood-Alcohol Concentration on the Disappearance Rate of Alcohol from Blood in Drinking Drivers." <i>Journal of Forensic</i> <i>Sciences</i> , 41(6), 1996, pp. 922-926, doi: 10.1520/JFS14026J.

ASB Best Practice Recommendation 122, 1^{st} Ed. 20234

l

^b Available from: <u>https://www.nzta.govt.nz/assets/resources/research/reports/541/docs/541.pdf</u>

Jones, A.W. and K.A. Jönsson. "Food-Induced Lowering of Blood-Ethanol Profiles and Increased
Rate of Elimination Immediately After a Meal." *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 39(4), 1994, pp.
1084-1093, doi: 10.1520/JFS13687J.

I

- Jones, A.W. and A. Neri. "Evaluation of Blood-Ethanol Profiles After Consumption of Alcohol
 Together with a Large Meal." *Canadian Society of Forensic Science Journal*, 24(3),1991, pp. 165174, doi: 10.1080/00085030.1991.10756993.
- Jones, A.W., and C. Tilson. "Distribution Ratios of Ethanol and Water Between Whole Blood,
 Plasma, Serum, and Erythrocytes: Recommendations for Interpreting Clinical Laboratory
 Results in a Legal Context." *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 68(1), 2023, pp. 9-21, doi:
 10.1111/1556-4029.15164.
- Jones, A.W., J.G. Wigmore, and C.J. House. "The Course of the Blood-Alcohol Curve After
 Consumption of Large Amounts of Alcohol under Realistic Conditions." *Canadian Society of Forensic Science Journal*, 39(3), 2006, pp. 125-140, doi: 10.1080/00085030.2006.10757142.
- Maskell, P.D. and G.A.A. Cooper. "The Contribution of Body Mass and Volume of Distribution to
 the Estimated Uncertainty Associated with the Widmark Equation." *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 65(5), 2020, pp. 1676-1684, doi: 10.1111/1556-4029.14447.
- Maskell, P.D., S.B. Heymsfield, S. Shapses, and J.F. Limoges. Population Ranges for the Volume of
 Distribution (Vd) of Alcohol for Use in Forensic Alcohol Calculations. *Journal of Forensic Sciences* 2023, doi: 10.1111/1556-4029.15317.
- Maskell, P.D., A.W. Jones, S.B. Heymsfield, S. Shapses, and A. Johnston. "Total Body Water is the
 Preferred Method to Use in Forensic Blood-Alcohol Calculations Rather than Ethanol's Volume
 of Distribution." *Forensic Science International*, 316 (Nov), 2020, doi:
 10.1016/j.forsciint.2020.110532.
- Maskell, P., A.W. Jones, A. Savage, and M. Scott-Ham. "Evidence Based Survey of the
 Distribution Volume of Ethanol; Comparison of Empirically Determined Values with
 Anthropometric Measures." *Forensic Science International*, 294 (Jan), 2019, pp. 124-131, doi:
 10.1016/j.forsciint.2018.10.033.
- 577 20] Maskell, P.D., K.J. Sang, S.B. Heymsfield, S. Shapses, and A. de Korompay. "Forensic Alcohol
 578 Calculations in Transgender Individuals Undergoing Gender-Affirming Hormonal Treatment."
 579 *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 67(4), 2022, pp. 1624-1631, doi: 10.1111/1556-4029.15052.
- Maudens, K.E., L. Patteet, A.N. van Nuijs, C. Van Broekhoven, A. Covaci, and H. Neels. "The
 Influence of the Body Mass Index (BMI) on the Volume of Distribution of Ethanol." *Forensic Science International*, 243 (Oct), 2014, pp. 74-78, doi: 10.1016/j.forsciint.2014.04.036.
- McElrea, A., C. Su, and L. Smith. "Truncation of Breath Alcohol Measurements and Its Effect on
 Peak Concentrations." *Journal of the Canadian Society of Forensic Science*, 44(1), 2011, pp. 1321, doi: 10.1080/00085030.2011.10768138.
- 586 23] Montgomery, M.R. and M.J. Reasor. "Retrograde Extrapolation of Blood Alcohol Data: An
 587 Applied Approach." *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health*, 36(4), 1992, pp. 281-292,
 588 doi: 10.1080/15287399209531639.

- 589 24] National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, U.S. Department of Health and Human
 590 Services. "What is a Standard Drink?"^c
- Set 25] Neuteboom, W., and A.W. Jones. "Disappearance Rate of Alcohol from the Blood of Drunk
 Drivers Calculated from Two Consecutive Samples; What do the Results Really Mean?" *Forensic Science International*, 45(1-2), 1990, pp. 107-115, doi: 10.1016/0379-0738(90)90227-p.
- Seidl, S., U. Jensen, and A. Alt. "The Calculation of Blood Ethanol Concentrations in Males and
 Females." *International Journal of Legal Medicine*, 114(1-2), 2000, pp. 71-77, doi:
 10.1007/s004140000154.
- 597 [27] Stowell, A.R., L.I. Stowell. "Estimation of Blood Alcohol Concentrations After Social Drinking."
 598 *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 43(1), 1998, pp. 14-21, doi: 10.29328/journal.jfsr.1001038.
- 599 28] United Kingdom and Ireland Association of Forensic Toxicologists. "Guidelines for Alcohol
 600 Calculations." Version 4.3, 2021^d.
- 29] Ulrich, L., Y. Cramer, and P. Zink. "Relevance of Individual Parameters in the Calculation of
 Blood Alcohol Levels in Relation to the Volume of Intake." "Die Berücksichtigung Individueller
 Parameter bei der Errechnung des Blutalkoholgehaltes aus der Trinkmenge." *Blutalkohol*,
 24(3), 1987, pp. 192-198.
- Watkins, R. L. and E.V. Adler. "The Effect of Food on Alcohol Absorption and Elimination
 Patterns." *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 38(2), 1993, pp. 285-291, doi: 10.1520/JFS13407J.
- Watson, P.E., I.D. Watson, and R.D. Batt. "Prediction of Blood Alcohol Concentrations in Human
 Subjects. Updating the Widmark Equation." *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, 42(7), 1981, pp. 547556, doi: 10.15288.jsa.1981.42.547.
- Winek, C. L., W.W. Wahba, and J.L. Dowdell. "Determination of Absorption Time of Ethanol in
 Social Drinkers." *Forensic Science International*, 77(3), 1996, pp. 169-177, doi: 10.1016/03790738(95)01859-x.
- Winek, C.L. and K.L. Murphy. "The Rate and Kinetic Order of Ethanol Elimination." *Forensic Science International*, 25(3), 1984, pp. 159-166, doi: 10.1016/0379-0738(84)90189-0.

^c Available from: <u>https://pubs.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/practitioner/PocketGuide/pocket_guide2.htm</u>

^d Available from: <u>http://www.ukiaft.co.uk/image/catalog/documents/ukiaft-atd-v4.3.pdf</u>



Academy Standards Board 410 North 21st Street Colorado Springs, CO 80904

www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board