

ASB Best Practice Recommendation 129, First Edition  
202X

**Best Practice Recommendation for Internal Validation  
of Human Short Tandem Repeat Profiling on Capillary  
Electrophoresis Platforms**



**ASB**  
AAFS STANDARDS BOARD

## Best Practice Recommendation for Internal Validation of Human Short Tandem Repeat Profiling on Capillary Electrophoresis Platforms

ASB Approved Xxxxxxx 202X

ANSI Approved Xxxxxxxx 202X



410 North 21<sup>st</sup> Street  
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

This document may be downloaded from: [www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board](http://www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board)

*This document is provided by the AAFS Standards Board (ASB). Users are permitted to print and download the document and extracts from the document for personal use, however the following actions are prohibited under copyright:*

- *modifying this document or its related graphics in any way;*
- *using any illustrations or any graphics separately from any accompanying text; and,*
- *failing to include an acknowledgment alongside the copied material noting the AAFS Standards Board as the copyright holder and publisher.*

*Users may not reproduce, duplicate, copy, sell, resell, or exploit for any commercial purposes this document or any portion of it. Users may create a hyperlink to [www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board](http://www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board) to allow persons to download their individual free copy of this document. The hyperlink must not portray AAFS, the AAFS Standards Board, this document, our agents, associates and affiliates in an offensive manner, or be misleading or false. ASB trademarks may not be used as part of a link without written permission from ASB.*

*The AAFS Standards Board retains the sole right to submit this document to any other forum for any purpose.*

*Certain commercial entities, equipment or materials may be identified in this document to describe a procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendations or endorsement by the AAFS or the AAFS Standards Board, nor is it intended to imply that the entities, materials, or equipment are necessarily the best available for the purpose.*

*Proper citation of ASB documents includes the designation, title, edition, and year of publication.*

*This document is copyrighted © by the AAFS Standards Board, LLC. 202X All rights are reserved.  
410 North 21st Street, Colorado Springs, CO 80904, [www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board](http://www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board).*

## Foreword

This document outlines best practice recommendations for the internal validation of human short tandem repeat DNA profiling on capillary electrophoresis platforms utilized in forensic laboratories.

The validation of STR profiling kits is one part of the process of generating a DNA result. There are steps prior to and after this amplification step and their impact on the STR profiling kit validation studies need to be considered.

The American Academy of Forensic Sciences established the Academy Standards Board (ASB) in 2015 with a vision of safeguarding Justice, Integrity, and Fairness through Consensus Based American National Standards. To that end, the ASB develops consensus based forensic standards within a framework accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI), and provides training to support those standards. ASB values integrity, scientific rigor, openness, due process, collaboration, excellence, diversity and inclusion. ASB is dedicated to developing and making freely accessible the highest quality documentary forensic science consensus Standards, Guidelines, Best Practices, and Technical Reports in a wide range of forensic science disciplines as a service to forensic practitioners and the legal system.

This document was revised, prepared, and finalized as a standard by the DNA Consensus Body of the AAFS Standards Board. The draft of this standard was developed by the Human Forensic Biology Subcommittee of the Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) for Forensic Science.

Questions, comments, and suggestions for the improvement of this document can be sent to AAFS-ASB Secretariat, [asb@aafs.org](mailto:asb@aafs.org) or 410 N 21st Street, Colorado Springs, CO 80904.

All hyperlinks and web addresses shown in this document are current as of the publication date of this standard.

ASB procedures are publicly available, free of cost, at [www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board](http://www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board).

**Keywords:** *internal validation, DNA, short tandem repeat profiling, capillary electrophoresis.*

**Table of Contents** *(to be finalized prior to publication)*

1 Scope.....

2 Normative References.....

3 Terms and Definitions.....

4 Recommendations.....

4.1 Considerations for Validation Studies.....

4.2 Sensitivity.....

4.3 Analytical Threshold.....

4.4 Peak Height Ratio.....

4.5 Stutter.....

4.6 Stochastic Threshold.....

4.7 Mixtures.....

4.8 Precision.....

4.9 Contamination.....

4.10 Concordance.....

4.11 Known References and Casework-like Samples.....

4.12 Periodic Evaluation of Parameters, Protocols and Procedures.....

Annex A (informative) Bibliography.....

DRAFT

# Best Practice Recommendation for Internal Validation of Human Short Tandem Repeat Profiling on Capillary Electrophoresis Platforms

## 1 Scope

This document provides best practice recommendations for performing an internal validation of a human short tandem repeat (STR) multiplex kit using capillary electrophoresis (CE). This document is to be used as a companion document to the ASB Standard 039, *Standard for Internal Validation of Human Short Tandem Repeat Profiling on Capillary Electrophoresis Platforms* (*also available for public comment*).

## 2 Normative References

There are no normative reference documents. Annex A, Bibliography, contains informative references.

## 3 Terms and Definitions

For purposes of this document, the following definitions apply.

### 3.1 analytical threshold

The minimum height requirement at and above which detected peaks in an STR DNA profile electropherogram can be reliably distinguished from instrument background noise; peaks above this threshold are generally not considered noise and are either artifacts or true alleles.

### 3.2 artifact

A non-allelic product of the amplification process (e.g., stutter, non-templated nucleotide addition, or other non-specific product), an anomaly of the detection process (e.g., single or multi-channel voltage spikes or “pull-up”), or a by-product of primer synthesis (e.g., “dye blob”) that may be observed on an electropherogram.

### 3.3 contamination

Exogenous DNA or other biological material in a DNA sample, PCR reaction, or item of evidence; the exogenous DNA or biological material could be present before the sample is collected or introduced during collection or testing of the sample.

### 3.4 drop-in

Allelic peak(s) in an electropherogram that are not reproducible across multiple independent amplification events.

### 3.5 drop-out

Failure of an otherwise amplifiable allele to produce a signal above analytical threshold because the allele was not present in sufficient quantity in the aliquot that underwent PCR amplification.

- 38 **3.6**  
39 **interpretation**  
40 The process of evaluating DNA data for purposes including, but not limited to, defining assumptions  
41 related to mixtures and single-source profiles, distinguishing between alleles and artifacts,  
42 assessing the possibility of degradation, inhibition, and stochastic effects, and determining whether  
43 the data are suitable for comparison.
- 44 **3.7**  
45 **peak height ratio**  
46 **PHR**  
47 The relative ratio of two peaks at a given locus in a diploid heterozygous single-source sample.
- 48 **3.8**  
49 **precision**  
50 The degree of mutual agreement among a series of individual measurements, values and/or results.
- 51 **3.9**  
52 **probabilistic genotyping**  
53 The use of biological modeling (i.e., statistical modeling informed by biological data), statistical  
54 theory, computer algorithms, and/or probability distributions to infer genotypes and/or calculate  
55 likelihood ratios.
- 56 **3.10**  
57 **repeatability studies**  
58 Studies to evaluate the degree of variability in multiple measurements (e.g., replicate samples)  
59 under constant conditions, such as studies by the same operator using the same equipment in the  
60 same laboratory within short intervals of time.
- 61 **3.11**  
62 **reproducibility studies**  
63 Studies to evaluate the degree of variability in multiple measurements (e.g., replicate samples)  
64 under varying conditions, such as studies using the same methods with different operators or  
65 different equipment.
- 66 **3.12**  
67 **sensitivity studies**  
68 Studies performed during developmental and/or internal validation of DNA or other test methods  
69 designed to define the lower and upper limits/bounds of an assay to accurately detect an analyte.
- 70 **3.13**  
71 **stochastic threshold**  
72 The peak height value in a DNA electrophoretic profile above which it is reasonable to assume,  
73 based on validation studies, that at a given locus, allelic drop-out of a sister allele in a heterozygous  
74 pair has not occurred in a single-source DNA sample; due to the possibility of shared alleles in  
75 mixed samples, the presence of allele peaks above the stochastic threshold is no guarantee that  
76 allele drop-out did not occur in mixed DNA sample profiles.

77 **3.14**  
78 **stutter**

79 An artifact of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification typically observed one or more repeat  
80 units smaller or larger than a short tandem repeat (STR) allele in a DNA profile, may result from  
81 strand slippage during PCR amplification. A stutter peak is generally of lower relative fluorescence  
82 units (RFU) than the allele peak.

83 **3.15**  
84 **validation**

85 The process of performing and evaluating a set of experiments that establishes the efficacy,  
86 reliability, and limitations of a method, procedure or modification thereof; establishing recorded  
87 documentation that provides a high degree of assurance that a specific process will consistently  
88 produce an outcome meeting its predetermined specifications and quality attributes. May include  
89 developmental and/or internal validation.

90 **4 Recommendations**

91 **4.1 Considerations for Validation Studies**

92 **4.1.1** A validation plan should be developed defining questions to be addressed and the studies  
93 designed to answer these questions.

94 **4.1.2** Laboratories validating X and Y chromosome STR kits should perform the same studies,  
95 where applicable, as those validating autosomal STR kits, taking care to consider appropriate  
96 sample selection and data interpretation.

97 **4.1.3** Human DNA samples (whether collected or generated internally or externally to the  
98 laboratory) should be selected to meet agency policy regarding human subjects and privacy  
99 concerns as the DNA profiles from these samples may need to be shared for independent review of  
100 validation data.

101 NOTE Use of DNA samples from staff members to perform validation experiments can cause complications  
102 regarding privacy.

103 **4.1.4** In order to characterize variability that may exist in the system, single-source DNA samples  
104 from multiple donors with a variety of alleles and with a high degree of heterozygous genotypes,  
105 should be used.

106 **4.1.5** Samples tested should include extracts prepared using all extraction chemistries in use by  
107 the laboratory.

108 **4.1.6** The DNA concentration of each extract used in the studies should be established using the  
109 current laboratory quantitation method.

110 **4.1.7** Applicable controls (e.g., reagent blanks, negative and positive amplification controls)  
111 should be run with all sample sets throughout the validation process.

112 **4.1.8** Variation between lots of critical reagents and supplies are known to exist and should be  
113 assessed, monitored, and understood. At least two lots of each critical PCR amplification reagent  
114 should be tested during validation studies conducted.

115 **4.1.9** Instrumentation should meet the laboratory’s criteria for use in casework including being  
116 current on calibrations and maintenance.

117 **4.1.10** Variation in peak position and peak height should be assessed, monitored, and understood  
118 within and between instruments (e.g., using replicate samples, positive controls, and/or allelic  
119 ladders). Variation may result from intrinsic differences between platforms or instruments and  
120 extrinsic factors such as room temperature. Significant differences should be addressed in  
121 protocols and procedures.

122 **4.1.11** If a laboratory plans to use methods to enhance detection sensitivity (e.g., increased  
123 amplification cycle number, increased injection time, and/or post-amplification purification), prior  
124 to implementation, additional validation studies to determine the effect of these methods on  
125 interpretation should be performed. After studies are performed, an evaluation of the benefits and  
126 risks should be conducted and criteria established and documented for each method used.

127 As stated in section 4.1.2 of ASB Standard 039, “The laboratory shall perform sensitivity studies  
128 that will include replicates for each set of assay parameters (e.g., PCR cycle number, injection time,  
129 injection voltage, and PCR reaction volume) that the laboratory utilizes with the new STR kit.”

130 **4.1.12** If alterations are made to amplification parameters (e.g., reaction volume, reaction  
131 components and concentrations, amplification cycle number and thermal cycling conditions), prior  
132 to implementation, additional validation studies to determine the effect of these methods should be  
133 performed.

134 As stated in section 4.2.2 of ASB Standard 039, “The laboratory shall perform sensitivity studies  
135 that will include replicates for each set of assay parameters (e.g., PCR cycle number, injection time,  
136 injection voltage, and PCR reaction volume) that the laboratory utilizes with the new STR kit.”

137 **4.1.13** If alterations are made to the data analysis parameters that impact sizing, peak height, or  
138 peak detection (e.g., smoothing, peak half-widths, sizing algorithm selection), the validation data  
139 should be re-analyzed with any software that is used for data analysis.

140 **4.1.14** Outliers or discrepancies identified during data analysis should be further evaluated.  
141 Potential explanations for the discrepancies should be provided and where possible, additional data  
142 and research studies supporting the explanations documented. These results may dictate the need  
143 for additional testing and/or modifications to the procedures and protocols under development.

## 144 **4.2 Concordance**

### 145 **4.2.1 Requirement from ASB Standard 039** *(also available for public comment).*

146 NOTE Refer to section 4.2.1 of ASB Standard 039, *Standard for Internal Validation of Human Short Tandem*  
147 *Repeat Profiling on Capillary Electrophoresis Platforms* *(also available for public comment).*

148 “The laboratory shall conduct an STR genotyping concordance study.”

### 149 **4.2.2 Objective**

150 The purpose of concordance testing is to demonstrate agreement between STR typing results  
151 obtained compared to those using previous methods or published data.

### 152 4.2.3 Considerations

153 4.2.3.1 Concordance samples should be evaluated after conditions for casework sample analysis  
154 have been established (e.g., target DNA amount, injection time and voltage, PCR cycles/volume).

155 4.2.3.2 The samples used in the concordance study should reflect the type of STR test kit being  
156 validated (e.g., autosomal STR typing test kit should use both male and female DNA, direct  
157 amplification typing test kits should use buccal swabs, or stain punches; Y-STR test typing kit  
158 should use male DNA).

### 159 4.2.4 Experimental Method

160 The sample types used in the concordance study should include an appropriate certified reference  
161 material and may include proficiency test samples, amplification positive controls, purchased blood  
162 samples, or genomic DNA derived from stable cell lines.

163 NOTE Refer to Section 4.1.2. for information on use of DNA samples from staff members.

### 164 4.2.5 Data Analysis and Results

165 4.2.5.1 A comparison of the observed alleles to the known values (if established) should be  
166 performed.

167 4.2.5.2 The presence of a discordant genotype result at a locus should be documented in the final  
168 validation summary and a possible reason provided.

### 169 4.2.6 Implementation

170 Observed discordant results may not invalidate the concordance study. Common reasons for  
171 discordance may include a null allele resulting from a primer binding site mutation, a difference in  
172 allele call due to different PCR primer sets, or a different method of DNA separation affecting  
173 resolution or migration. Stochastic effects from amplifying low levels of DNA can also produce  
174 discordant results due to elevated stutter or allele drop-out.

## 175 4.3 Sensitivity

### 176 4.3.1 Requirement from ASB Standard 039

177 NOTE Refer to section 4.2.2 of ASB Standard 039, *Standard for Internal Validation of Human Short Tandem*  
178 *Repeat Profiling on Capillary Electrophoresis Platforms* (*also available for public comment*).

179 “The laboratory shall perform sensitivity studies that will include replicates for each set of  
180 assay parameters (e.g., PCR cycle number, injection time, injection voltage, and PCR reaction  
181 volume) that the laboratory utilizes with the new STR kit.”

### 182 4.3.2 Objective

183 The purposes of these studies are to define the upper and lower limits for the STR test kit and  
184 capillary electrophoresis platform used, and to determine the sensitivity and optimal DNA template  
185 target or target range for developing interpretable DNA profiles.

186 **4.3.3 Considerations**

187 Performing replicates of the samples selected with an emphasis on testing DNA below the optimal  
 188 template range is recommended for collecting and examining STR data where allele drop-out is  
 189 expected. This is informative for establishing and verifying a stochastic threshold and for the use of  
 190 probabilistic genotyping software.

191 **4.3.4 Samples Used in Experimental Method**

192 **4.3.4.1** Single-source DNA samples processed in-house with internally validated extraction and  
 193 quantitation methods should be used for these studies.

194 **4.3.4.2** DNA profiles with heterozygous genotypes having alleles that are multiple repeats apart  
 195 should be included to account for variation in PCR efficiency due to fragment size.

196 **4.3.4.3** *Pristine DNA*: A minimum of three unique single-source, high-quality, non-degraded DNA  
 197 samples should be used to perform serial dilutions across a range of DNA template quantities  
 198 higher and lower than expected to be processed by the laboratory. The serial dilution should  
 199 include the following:

200 a) a minimum of five different template quantities performed in triplicate (e.g., three unique DNA  
 201 samples × five dilutions × three replicates = 45 total amplifications);

202 b) lower concentrations that produce STR profiles where allele drop-out is observed and allow for  
 203 identification of the lower limits of data analysis and interpretation.

204 **4.3.4.4** *Degraded/Inhibited DNA*: Following initial sensitivity studies using high-quality DNA,  
 205 additional studies should be performed to gain familiarity with the impact of common  
 206 environmental insults known to affect forensic DNA samples. The serial dilution should include the  
 207 following:

208 a) a biologically relevant serial dilution of inhibitor added to a serial dilution of DNA and multiple  
 209 inhibitors may be tested (e.g., hematin, humic acid);

210 b) DNA degraded using a laboratory defined protocol.

211 **4.3.4.5** *Excess Non-specific DNA*: Following initial sensitivity studies using high-quality DNA for Y  
 212 chromosome STR kits, additional studies should be performed to gain familiarity with the impact of  
 213 the presence of excess DNA from a female individual(s), often co-extracted in forensic DNA samples.  
 214 The serial dilution should include the following:

215 a) a minimum of three unique single-source DNA samples across a range of DNA template  
 216 quantities higher and lower than expected to be processed by the laboratory;

217 b) a biologically relevant serial dilution of DNA from an individual providing an excess of the non-  
 218 target sex chromosome.

219 **4.3.5 Data Analysis and Results**

220 **4.3.5.1** Variation at different DNA template quantities should be characterized using average  
 221 peak height (APH), standard deviation, and coefficient of variance for every locus at each DNA

222 template quantity and quality for both homozygotes and heterozygotes. Upper and lower limits of  
223 reliable interpretation along with optimal DNA input target/range should be defined as follows:

- 224 a) Limits should be evaluated using RFU and/or DNA template quantity and quality.
- 225 b) Upper limits should be informed by evaluation of spectral pull-up, excessive stutter, off scale  
226 signal, increased artifact detection, and decreased locus and allelic balance.
- 227 c) Lower limits should be informed by peak height balance, allelic and locus drop-out, allelic drop-  
228 in, and elevated stutter.

229 **4.3.5.2** Optimal input for pristine DNA can be a target or a range and will fall within the highest  
230 and lowest concentration of DNA template from a single contributor that clearly distinguishes true  
231 alleles (homo- and heterozygous) from artifacts, demonstrates intra- and inter-locus and dye color  
232 channel balance, and usually results in complete profiles.

### 233 **4.3.6 Implementation**

234 Data obtained from the sensitivity studies should inform the development of the laboratory's  
235 protocols on the following:

- 236 a) amplification conditions as defined by validation studies;
- 237 b) DNA target input range;
- 238 c) positive control DNA input target;
- 239 d) stochastic threshold;
- 240 e) upper limit of data analysis, that prevents an increased observation of off-scale/artifact peaks;
- 241 f) lower limit of data analysis, that informs processing and interpretation strategies used for low  
242 template samples that results in the highest number of complete and accurate allele calls.

## 243 **4.4 Analytical Threshold**

### 244 **4.4.1 Requirement from ASB Standard 039** *(also available for public comment).*

245 NOTE Refer to section 4.2.3 of ASB Standard 039, *Standard for Internal Validation of Human Short Tandem*  
246 *Repeat Profiling on Capillary Electrophoresis Platforms* *(also available for public comment).*

247 "The laboratory shall determine the analytical threshold for each dye channel of the new STR  
248 test kit using a range of sample types and DNA input quantities across multiple analyses."

### 249 **4.4.2 Objective**

250 The purpose of setting an analytical threshold (AT) is to define the RFU value above which artifacts  
251 and true allelic signal are differentiated from baseline noise.

252 **4.4.3 Considerations**

253 **4.4.3.1** CE instruments should be set on a solid/secure platform that protects against potential  
254 vibration or movement that could affect baseline noise.

255 **4.4.3.2** Caution should be taken when utilizing DNA input amounts lower and/or higher than the  
256 laboratory's determined optimal DNA input range to set a single AT since this may increase the  
257 potential for loss of interpretable data in low level samples if that single threshold is applied. Based  
258 on data generated using known DNA concentrations that straddle the optimum DNA input range,  
259 laboratories may determine and apply different AT values to decrease the loss of interpretable data.

260 **4.4.4 Samples Used for Experimental Method**

261 **4.4.4.1** Amplification negatives and samples using a range of DNA template quantities, including  
262 the sensitivity study samples, should be used.

263 **4.4.4.2** Non-baseline peaks (e.g., dye-artifacts, other known artifacts, spectral pull-up, and allelic  
264 peaks) should be removed from data prior to calculation.

265 **4.4.4.3** Samples displaying off-scale data or excessive artifacts should not be used.

266 **4.4.5 Data Analysis and Results**

267 Multiple methods for calculating an AT are acceptable, and examples can be found in the literature.

268 **4.4.6 Implementation**

269 Analytical thresholds should be established early in the validation process and applied to all other  
270 validation studies. The thresholds should be modified if necessary, based on other studies  
271 conducted at a later time.

272 **4.5 Peak Height Ratio**

273 **4.5.1 Requirement from ASB Standard 039** *(also available for public comment).*

274 NOTE Refer to section 4.2.4 of ASB Standard 039, *Standard for Internal Validation of Human Short Tandem*  
275 *Repeat Profiling on Capillary Electrophoresis Platforms* *(also available for public comment).*

276 "The laboratory shall characterize peak height ratio variation observed for each locus of the  
277 STR test kit utilizing single-source samples amplified over a range of DNA input amounts."

278 **4.5.2 Objective**

279 Characterizing peak height ratios during internal validation provides the laboratory with data to  
280 assist in understanding allelic imbalance, identifying the possibility of allelic drop-out, and the  
281 development of interpretation guidelines. This study is not applicable to single-copy Y-STR loci as  
282 they do not have heterozygous loci.

283 **4.5.3 Considerations**

284 **4.5.3.1** Heterozygous loci are required to perform peak height ratio calculations.

285 **4.5.3.2** Measurements of peak area may be substituted for peak height, and if selected, peak area  
286 should be used for all studies.

287 **4.5.3.3** Laboratories should evaluate the effects of DNA quantity on PHR.

288 **4.5.3.4** Off-scale data should not be used to measure PHR since the peak height data is not  
289 accurate for off-scale peaks.

290 **4.5.3.5** Laboratories should calculate PHRs using alleles from heterozygous pairs separated by  
291 two or more repeats to avoid contribution from stutter artifacts.

#### 292 **4.5.4 Samples Used for Experimental Method**

293 NOTE Refer to Section 4.2.4 for samples to be used.

#### 294 **4.5.5 Data Analysis and Results**

295 **4.5.5.1** PHR for each heterozygous pair of alleles should be calculated (e.g., low RFU peak/high  
296 RFU peak) as follows:

297 a) average PHR;

298 b) standard deviation;

299 c) minimum and maximum PHR; and

300 d) across the full range of data generated from various DNA template amounts.

301 **4.5.5.2** PHR trends should be assessed for the following data sets:

302 a) differences based upon peak height RFU (e.g., low RFU vs. high RFU);

303 b) differences based on locus;

304 c) PHR balance within loci and PHR balance among loci.

305 **4.5.5.3** The laboratory should create a plot of peak height and/or input value vs. PHR to  
306 determine data linearity.

307 **4.5.5.4** PHR variation should be characterized to determine the expected value(s) for loci under  
308 defined conditions (e.g., template quantity seen across the range of samples tested in case work,  
309 peak heights) to be used in profile interpretation. This can be accomplished using various methods  
310 (e.g., using the average PHR minus three standard deviations).

311 **4.5.5.5** Laboratories employing probabilistic genotyping software for mixture deconvolution  
312 should evaluate PHRs at a range of DNA input amounts to assist with preliminary profile  
313 interpretation (e.g., assessing the potential number of contributors) prior to software  
314 deconvolution.

315 **4.5.6 Implementation**

316 **4.5.6.1** The results from this study can form the basis for the laboratory’s minimum PHR  
 317 expectations for assistance in data interpretation, including evaluation of mixed DNA profiles.

318 **4.5.6.2** The following PHR threshold approaches may be implemented based on laboratory  
 319 requirements:

320 a) a single universal minimal expected PHR threshold;

321 b) multiple locus-specific or RFU-specific minimal expected PHR thresholds.

322 **4.5.6.3** The laboratory should document the frequency of events that fall below the minimum  
 323 threshold(s) in data sets described in 4.4.5.2.

324 **4.6 Stutter**

325 **4.6.1 Requirement from ASB Standard 039** *(also available for public comment).*

326 NOTE Refer to section 4.2.5 of ASB Standard 039, *Standard for Internal Validation of Human Short Tandem*  
 327 *Repeat Profiling on Capillary Electrophoresis Platforms* *(also available for public comment).*

328 “The laboratory shall characterize PCR stutter artifacts observed for each STR locus of the new  
 329 STR test kit.”

330 **4.6.2 Objective**

331 Characterizing stutter ratios during internal validation provides the laboratory with data to ensure  
 332 the STR kit displays stutter frequencies comparable to those reported during developmental  
 333 validation and can assist with the development of interpretation protocols.

334 **4.6.3 Considerations**

335 **4.6.3.1** Laboratories utilizing the manufacturer’s recommendations should compare internally  
 336 validated stutter data to manufacturer’s developmentally validated data to ensure consistency  
 337 across the range of loci and alleles represented in the STR kit.

338 NOTE When creating a validation plan, the laboratory defines the level of acceptable variation from  
 339 developmentally validated values.

340 **4.6.3.2** Laboratories should consider whether to use locus-specific or allele-specific stutter  
 341 values.

342 **4.6.3.3** Stutter percentages are expected to vary with the type of the repeat unit e.g., tri-  
 343 nucleotide vs. tetra-nucleotide.

344 **4.6.4 Samples Used for Experimental Methods**

345 NOTE Refer to Section 4.2.4. for samples to be used. Additional samples from population data representing a  
 346 wide allele range can assist in determining allele-specific stutter values.

347 **4.6.5 Data Analysis and Results**

348 **4.6.5.1** Stutter peaks should be characterized based on size and amplitude relative to an allelic  
349 peak. [e.g., = (RFU of stutter artifact)/ (RFU of allele peak)].

350 **4.6.5.2** Off-scale data should not be used to measure stutter since the peak height data is not  
351 accurate for the off-scale peak.

352 **4.6.5.3** Stutter characterization should be classified into categories based on relation to the true  
353 allele peak:

354 a) one repeat unit smaller;

355 b) one repeat unit larger;

356 c) two repeat units smaller; and

357 d) partial or other repeat unit differences.

358 **4.6.5.4** The data analysis software employed should have all stutter filters removed and the  
359 allele-calling threshold set to a value low enough to capture all non-template peaks (e.g., 20 RFU).  
360 Non-stutter artifacts should be edited out prior to data export, such as spectral pull-up, incomplete  
361 adenylation, CE spikes or dye artifacts.

362 **4.6.5.5** Stutter should not be calculated for the conditions in 4.5.5.5.1 and 4.5.5.5.2.

363 **4.6.5.5.1** When two alleles at an individual locus are one repeat unit different in size, as stutter  
364 contribution cannot be decoupled from the allele height.

365 **4.6.5.5.2** When two alleles are two repeat units apart, as the n+1 stutter from the first allele is  
366 additive with the n-1 stutter of the second allele.

367 **4.6.5.6** Allele designation, base pair size and peak height data should be exported for accurate  
368 stutter analysis.

369 **4.6.5.7** At a minimum, the following characteristics for stutter should be calculated using the  
370 above data:

371 a) average stutter per locus;

372 b) standard deviation; and

373 c) minimum and maximum stutter observed at each locus.

374 **4.6.5.8** A test of the success of the stutter thresholds should be performed using single-source  
375 known references and casework-like samples until the laboratory determines an acceptable level of  
376 stutter peaks are filtered across several different single-source samples.

377 **4.6.6 Implementation**

378 **4.6.6.1** The laboratory may determine stutter thresholds using one of the following methods.

379 a) Maximum stutter observed per locus, or per allele.

380 b) Average stutter plus a determined number of standard deviations per locus, or per allele.

381 c) If fewer than five observations per allele occurred at a particular locus the largest observed  
382 stutter value for that locus may be used.

383 **4.6.6.2** Each laboratory should decide if they wish to implement stutter thresholds only for the  
384 most commonly observed (e.g., n-1 repeat unit) stutter in the data analysis software used by the  
385 laboratory or to implement additional stutter thresholds (e.g., n+1, n-2 repeat unit).

386 **4.6.6.3** At a minimum, the less common stutter values (e.g., n+1, n-2 repeat unit) and their ranges  
387 should be documented within the validation summary. This information is valuable for staff to  
388 consider during interpretation of casework results.

389 **4.7 Stochastic Threshold**

390 **4.7.1 Requirement from ASB Standard 039** *(also available for public comment).*

391 NOTE Refer to section 4.2.6 of ASB Standard 039, *Standard for Internal Validation of Human Short Tandem*  
392 *Repeat Profiling on Capillary Electrophoresis Platforms* *(also available for public comment).*

393 “The laboratory shall determine stochastic threshold(s) for any manual binary method used to  
394 interpret the new STR test kit data.”

395 **4.7.2 Objective**

396 Identification of a stochastic threshold allows the laboratory to determine the peak height value  
397 above which it is reasonable to assume that, at a given locus, allelic drop-out of a sister allele in a  
398 heterozygous pair has not occurred; due to the possibility of shared alleles in mixed samples, the  
399 presence of allele peaks above the stochastic threshold is no guarantee that allele drop-out did not  
400 occur in mixed DNA sample profiles. This study is not applicable to single-copy Y-STR loci as they  
401 do not have heterozygous loci.

402 **4.7.3 Considerations**

403 **4.7.3.1** Data to assess stochastic threshold may be generated using the sensitivity samples.

404 If additional samples are needed beyond the sensitivity study, these should be selected from below  
405 the optimal DNA template range.

406 **4.7.3.2** Due to amplification efficiency variation across loci, stochastic effects within an  
407 amplification reaction may affect one or more loci irrespective of locus or allele size. As such, the  
408 stochastic threshold may be designated per locus. Laboratories may determine separate stochastic  
409 thresholds based on validation data.

410 **4.7.3.3** The following are contributing factors when establishing a stochastic threshold:

411 a) amplification conditions (e.g., DNA template input, reaction volume, or cycle number);

412 b) CE parameters (e.g., injection time or voltage);

413 c) post-amplification sample cleanup.

414 **4.7.3.4** Stochastic threshold values should be calculated for all amplification conditions and  
415 capillary electrophoresis parameters the laboratory plans to use.

#### 416 **4.7.4 Samples Used for Experimental Method**

417 NOTE Refer to Section 4.2.4 for samples to be used.

#### 418 **4.7.5 Data Analysis and Results**

419 **4.7.5.1** Derive a stochastic threshold using DNA template inputs that exhibit allele drop-out such  
420 that the sister allele is not visible and/or drop-out where the sister allele is below the previously  
421 established analytical threshold.

422 **4.7.5.2** The stochastic thresholds may be defined using the following:

423 a) average peak height plus a determined number of standard deviations;

424 b) logistic regression;

425 c) value above highest peak height where most severe imbalance is observed;

426 d) plotting PHR vs average RFU.

427 **4.7.5.3** All loci/dye channels should be assessed for differences; however, a single threshold may  
428 be implemented where these differences are not determined to be significant.

#### 429 **4.7.6 Implementation**

430 **4.7.6.1** The derived stochastic threshold should be verified using known case-type samples and  
431 the performance evaluated.

432 NOTE If the threshold is set too high, true homozygotes are flagged as possible allelic drop-out. If the  
433 threshold is set too low, heterozygotes with allelic drop-out would not be flagged.

434 **4.7.6.2** If the stochastic threshold does not reflect accurate determination of zygosity, 4.6.6.2.1  
435 through 4.6.6.2.3 should be considered to improve the accuracy of the threshold(s)

436 **4.7.6.2.1** Reevaluate the original threshold(s) chosen. If a single threshold has been used,  
437 consider multiple thresholds that better separate true homozygotes and heterozygotes where drop-  
438 out occurs.

439 **4.7.6.2.2** Consider use of another analysis method as described in [4.7.5.2](#) to define the  
440 threshold(s).

441 **4.7.6.2.3** Analyze additional samples.

## 442 **4.8 Mixtures**

### 443 **4.8.1 Requirement from ASB Standard 039** *(also available for public comment).*

444 NOTE Refer to section 4.2.7 of ASB Standard 039, *Standard for Internal Validation of Human Short Tandem*  
445 *Repeat Profiling on Capillary Electrophoresis Platforms* *(also available for public comment).*

446 “The laboratory shall conduct studies utilizing mixed DNA samples having a range of DNA  
447 ratios, DNA template input quantities, numbers of contributors, and degrees of allele sharing  
448 (including mixtures of first-degree biological relationships i.e., parent and child; siblings),  
449 expected to be interpreted by the testing laboratory.”

### 450 **4.8.2 Objective**

451 These studies should assist the laboratory in establishing a mixture interpretation protocol; this  
452 includes an estimate of the number of contributors to the mixture, determination of the major and  
453 minor contributor profiles, and contributor ratios. See ANSI/ASB Standard 020 and ANSI/ASB  
454 Standard 040 for further requirements.

### 455 **4.8.3 Considerations**

456 **4.8.3.1** This study should be performed after the sensitivity, peak height ratio, analytical  
457 threshold, stochastic, and stutter studies have been completed and thresholds have been  
458 established.

459 **4.8.3.2** The laboratory should design the study to incorporate at least one additional contributor  
460 beyond the number expected to be interpreted during casework in order to demonstrate the ability  
461 to assess the number of contributors and the limitations of the laboratory’s mixture interpretation  
462 protocol.

463 **4.8.3.3** To support the development of the laboratory’s interpretation protocol, a study of the  
464 number of contributors paired with several different genomic template quantities (at, above, and  
465 below the levels expected to be interpreted by the testing laboratory) and qualities (e.g., degraded,  
466 inhibited) should be performed.

467 **4.8.3.4** If a laboratory has validated or is currently planning the validation of an X or Y-STR test  
468 kit, then studies should be planned for efficient test design and workflow decisions which can be  
469 performed in parallel.

470 NOTE 1 Decisions about downstream STR typing may be impacted by the autosomal/Y-STR mixture ratios  
471 and upstream quantification values.

472 NOTE 2 An important consideration is that Y-STR loci are linked and therefore the full haplotype for each  
473 contributor needs to be considered when mixtures are deconvoluted or are interpreted.

474 **4.8.3.5** The laboratory mixture interpretation protocol should consider the sample types in which  
475 assumed contributors may be present and used to deduce the genotypes of potential contributors.

#### 476 **4.8.4 Experimental Method**

477 **4.8.4.1** Mixture classes, based on the sex, relatedness, and number of contributors, should be  
478 selected to include combinations of these factors to be interpreted by the laboratory.

479 **4.8.4.2** For each mixture class, a mixture series should be created by generating a specified range  
480 of ratios including template amounts at, above, and below the optimal input template amount from  
481 single-source samples of known genotypes.

482 **4.8.4.2.1** The range of ratios should include minor alleles that fall below stochastic and analytical  
483 thresholds.

484 **4.8.4.2.2** The range of ratios should be designed in order to identify the limits of major/minor  
485 determination.

486 **4.8.4.2.3** Samples with a range of allele variants should be included to evaluate performance and  
487 assess varying degrees of allelic overlap and the effect of alleles in stutter positions.

488 **4.8.4.2.4** Samples with a range of DNA qualities (e.g., degraded, inhibited) should be included.

489 **4.8.4.3** A minimum of two mixture series for each mixture class should be evaluated in triplicate.

#### 490 **4.8.5 Data Analysis and Results**

491 **4.8.5.1** Laboratories should apply filters and thresholds developed in the other studies (e.g.,  
492 analytical threshold, stochastic threshold (if applicable), peak height ratio, stutter ratios) on data  
493 produced for the mixture study.

494 **4.8.5.2** Each mixture series should be evaluated for the following parameters.

495 a) Decipher the possible genotypes of major and minor donors.

496 b) Determine the ratio at which alleles from the minor contributor fall below established  
497 thresholds.

498 c) The observed mixture ratio should be assessed for all samples and compared to the expected  
499 mixture ratio. Variation from the expected mixture ratio should be explored and additional  
500 testing performed if warranted.

501 NOTE Alleles that exhibit masking are not appropriate for use in manual mixture ratio estimation.  
502 Masking is defined as alleles that are shared between contributors, or when alleles of one donor fall into  
503 the stutter position of another donor.

504 d) Instances in which the major and minor contributors become indistinguishable should be  
505 identified.

506 **4.8.5.3** Based on the results of the mixture studies, filters and thresholds should be adjusted as  
507 needed.

508 **4.8.5.4** The data generated should be used to create interpretation methods and protocols  
509 including:

- 510 a) the criteria for establishing minimum and assumed numbers of contributors;
- 511 b) the mixture ratios when deconvolution can be used to assign possible genotypes to  
512 contributors;
- 513 c) the limitations of the method i.e., degradation, inhibition, number of contributors, and  
514 stochastic effects.

#### 515 **4.8.6 Implementation**

516 **4.8.6.1** Verification of the mixture protocols should be performed on mixed DNA samples of  
517 known origin that are different from those in the initial validation studies used to establish the  
518 protocol. See ANSI/ASB Standard 020 for more information.

519 **4.8.6.2** To perform replicability studies, a new dataset representative of the number of  
520 contributors, DNA ratios and genomic DNA template quantities expected to be interpreted in  
521 casework-like mixtures should be analyzed using the interpretation methods and protocols.

522 **4.8.6.3** To perform reproducibility studies, at least two analysts should interpret the same data  
523 and obtain the same possible contributor genotypes.

#### 524 **4.9 Precision**

##### 525 **4.9.1 Requirement from ASB Standard 039** *(also available for public comment).*

526 NOTE Refer to section 4.2.8 ASB Standard 039, *Standard for Internal Validation of Human Short Tandem*  
527 *Repeat Profiling on Capillary Electrophoresis Platforms* *(also available for public comment).*

528 “The laboratory shall demonstrate allelic sizing precision and calling accuracy of the new STR  
529 test kit and capillary electrophoresis instrument through repeatability and reproducibility  
530 studies.”

##### 531 **4.9.2 Objective**

532 The purpose of evaluating precision for base pair sizing is to assess the analytical performance of  
533 the separation mechanism and software sizing of DNA fragments included in the STR test kit.

##### 534 **4.9.3 Considerations**

535 **4.9.3.1** Environmental factors may need to be considered prior to placement of CE instrument or  
536 adjustments may need to be made to room conditions.

537 **4.9.3.2** DNA sizing variation greater than 0.5 nucleotides may result in incorrect allele calls for  
538 alleles that are a single nucleotide apart.

##### 539 **4.9.4 Experimental Method**

540 **4.9.4.1** Depending on the environmental conditions, the stability of ambient room temperature  
541 and humidity should be monitored throughout the validation. The periodicity of monitoring should  
542 capture the range of conditions under which casework is performed (e.g., running over the  
543 weekends when the air conditioning may be turned off).

544 **4.9.4.2** DNA sizing precision measurements should be performed using injections of allelic  
545 ladders in different capillaries throughout the course of the validation studies.

546 **4.9.4.3** A DNA sizing precision study should be performed for each CE instrument in use in the  
547 laboratory/laboratories.

548 **4.9.4.4** A DNA sizing precision study should be performed for each instrument parameter/run  
549 condition that may affect migration (e.g., run voltage, polymer type, etc.).

550 **4.9.4.5** Allelic ladders should be evaluated to allow for the characterization of variation across  
551 capillaries, injections, and time. The frequency of injection should be performed to capture the  
552 variation on DNA sizing precision due to the environmental condition encountered.

553 **4.9.5 Data Analysis and Results**

554 **4.9.5.1** Calculate the range of maximum and minimum DNA sizes across all allelic ladders to  
555 assess run-to-run variation.

556 **4.9.5.2** The precision for DNA sizing is calculated by using the DNA size for each allele in the  
557 allelic ladder generated from the analysis software.

558 **4.9.5.3** The average nucleotide size of each allele is calculated using these data and the standard  
559 deviation calculated. Three times standard deviation (a confidence interval of 99.7%) provides  
560 precision estimates for each allele of a locus and should be less than 0.5 nucleotides.

561 **4.9.6 Implementation**

562 **4.9.6.1** If precision is determined to be greater than 0.5 nucleotides for any allele within a locus,  
563 sources of the cause of this deviation should be examined (environmental conditions, run  
564 parameters, etc.). Once the source of the deviation is remedied, precision should be reassessed.

565 **4.9.6.2** Results should allow the laboratory to determine the frequency of ladder injections and to  
566 identify if re-injections related to off-ladder allele designations are necessary.

567 **4.9.6.3** Environmental factors may need to be considered for placement of CE instrument or  
568 adjustments to room conditions.

569 **4.10 Contamination**

570 **4.10.1 Requirement from ASB Standard 039** *(also available for public comment).*

571 NOTE Refer to section 4.2.9 of ASB Standard 039, *Standard for Internal Validation of Human Short Tandem*  
572 *Repeat Profiling on Capillary Electrophoresis Platforms* *(also available for public comment).*

573 “The laboratory shall determine the susceptibility of the genotyping process to the introduction  
574 and detection of exogenous DNA by documenting allelic drop-in and contamination through the  
575 evaluation of controls (i.e., reagent blanks, negative and positive amplification controls) and  
576 samples with known genotypes.

577 The laboratory shall document contamination events and calculate drop-in rates in accordance  
578 with its quality system.”

579 **4.10.2 Objective**

580 The purpose of the contamination study is to inform the laboratory as to the susceptibility of the  
581 STR analysis system to the detection of exogenous DNA originating from reagents, consumables,  
582 laboratory operator, instrument, and environment.

583 **4.10.3 Considerations**

584 **4.10.3.1** Two categories of exogenous DNA should be evaluated. Contamination where an  
585 unexpected source of DNA is detected and allele drop-in where an unexpected allele (possibly two)  
586 are detected.

587 **4.10.3.2** Potential sources of contamination may include:

- 588 a) laboratory environment (e.g., possible new cleaning schedule/procedure, HVAC systems,  
589 workflow/dedicated lab space);
- 590 b) consumables (e.g., tubes, reagents, pipette tips);
- 591 c) operator (e.g., appropriate training, personal protective equipment);
- 592 d) capillary electrophoresis instrument (e.g., capillary injection carry-over, capillary cross-talk);
- 593 e) automation equipment (e.g., normalization, amplification setup, post-amplification loading).

594 **4.10.4 Experimental Method**

595 **4.10.4.1** No additional studies are necessary for the evaluation of potential contamination events.  
596 Data generated from the other validation studies should be part of the contamination study.

597 **4.10.4.2** Additional controls (e.g., negative controls, or reagent blanks) may be processed to test  
598 the system based on the variables described in the considerations above.

599 **4.10.5 Data Analysis and Results**

600 **4.10.5.1** All samples, including controls, should be evaluated for the presence of exogenous DNA  
601 (including allele drop-in) which may originate from reagents, consumables, operator and/or  
602 laboratory environment.

603 **4.10.5.2** Allele drop-in should be documented and a frequency of occurrence should be  
604 determined.

605 **4.10.5.3** Drop-in rate may be estimated by comparing the number of drop-in observances to the  
606 total amount of data or samples evaluated during the validation study.

607 NOTE If no drop-in events are identified, the drop-in rate can be described as less than one event in the  
608 number of samples tested.

609 **4.10.5.4** Contaminating allelic data, if present, should be characterized and attribution of source  
610 attempted. The source of the contaminating data (co-processed samples, laboratory operator,  
611 consumables, etc.) may identify the point in the laboratory process that the contamination event

612 occurred and inform the laboratory on how to adjust procedures to prevent recurrence, and  
613 identify potential systemic problems that may require further improvements. The creation of an  
614 elimination database containing DNA profiles from laboratory personnel and crime scene  
615 investigators may provide a method for identifying the source of contamination.

#### 616 **4.10.6 Implementation**

617 The results from the contamination study should form the basis for the laboratory's policies on the  
618 following:

- 619 a) appropriate procedure setup including placement and number of controls;
- 620 b) laboratory environment (design, workflow, cleanup/maintenance);
- 621 c) level of tolerance (drop in frequency/expectations);
- 622 d) contamination management and necessary corrective measures;
- 623 e) control measures (e.g., personal protective equipment).

#### 624 **4.11 Known References and Casework-like Samples**

##### 625 **4.11.1 Requirement from ASB Standard 039** *(also available for public comment).*

626 NOTE Refer to sections 4.2.10.2 and 4.2.10.3 of ASB Standard 039, *Standard for Internal Validation of Human*  
627 *Short Tandem Repeat Profiling on Capillary Electrophoresis Platforms* *(also available for public comment).*

628 "The evaluation shall include studies utilizing known and casework-like samples with a range of  
629 sample types representative of those expected to be encountered by the testing laboratory.

630 These studies shall minimally include processing a set of samples using all DNA extraction and  
631 quantification chemistries utilized by the laboratory to verify compatibility with the new STR  
632 test kit."

##### 633 **4.11.2 Objective**

634 The purpose of the known references and casework-like samples study is to assess the performance  
635 of validated parameters of the STR test kit using case-type samples processed using upstream  
636 laboratory procedures.

##### 637 **4.11.3 Considerations**

638 **4.11.3.1** This study may be used to assess relative STR test kit concordance and performance  
639 through comparison with the previously validated STR kit data.

640 **4.11.3.2** The new STR test kit and associated standard operating procedures should be robust and  
641 generate reproducible results for pristine samples and consistent results for challenged samples.

642 **4.11.3.3** Laboratories should determine if the extraction chemistry procedure(s) used introduce  
643 inhibitors that interfere with the amplification of DNA with the STR test kit.

644 **4.11.3.4** DNA samples that have been previously extracted, quantified, and stored should be re-  
645 quantified before use in these experiments, as sample quantity and quality may be compromised  
646 over time.

647 **4.11.3.5** Inclusion of previously genotyped, anonymized samples should be included during the  
648 evaluation of procedures.

#### 649 **4.11.4 Experimental Method**

650 The testing laboratory should analyze known references and casework-like samples representative  
651 of those expected to be encountered by the laboratory for casework using the new STR test kit and  
652 different from those previously used for other portions of this validation. All extraction methods  
653 currently in use by the testing laboratory should be represented in the tested samples. Sample type  
654 considerations include the following.

655 a) Known reference samples should include:

- 656 1) single-source DNA samples of good quality, including multiple male and female individuals;
- 657 2) sufficient template to conduct the planned study.

658 b) Casework-like samples should include:

- 659 1) different genomic template quantities including limited DNA template amounts;
- 660 2) inhibited samples;
- 661 3) adulterated samples (latent print processing reagents, gun oil, condom lubricants, etc.);
- 662 4) samples containing more than one contributor in varying template amounts and similar  
663 levels of complexity to the samples tested in the mixture studies;
- 664 5) degraded samples, including differential degradation in mixed samples.

#### 665 **4.11.5 Data Analysis and Results**

666 **4.11.5.1** Using the parameters and standard operating procedures established during internal  
667 validation of the STR kit, data should be assessed for reproducibility and consistency.

668 **4.11.5.2** STR typing results should be compared to any previous results. Samples should be  
669 evaluated for potential contamination or allele drop-out through comparison to expected profile  
670 genotypes.

671 **4.11.5.3** To evaluate the standard operating procedures, STR typing results for samples  
672 containing more than one contributor should be compared to reference DNA profiles to determine  
673 the ability to detect possible contributor genotypes. If detected, the ability to include or exclude  
674 contributors should also be determined.

675 **4.11.5.4** Results from the known references and casework-like samples should be evaluated to  
676 determine if any adverse effects are observed that may be attributable to extraction chemistry.

677 Some adverse effects include signal reduction, partial or complete inhibition, peak height  
678 imbalance, locus imbalance, preferential amplification, incomplete adenylation or other artifacts.

#### 679 **4.11.6 Implementation**

680 When evaluating known reference and casework-like samples, if parameters developed during  
681 internal validation of the STR test kit fail to produce the expected outcome, the result(s) should be  
682 documented and a possible reason should be provided. If there are deviations from the expected  
683 outcomes, they should be documented and a possible reason provided. These results may dictate  
684 the need for additional testing and subsequent review of standard operating procedures and  
685 interpretation protocols.

#### 686 **4.12 Periodic Evaluation of Parameters, Protocols and Procedures**

687 **4.12.1** An informal evaluation for expected values (e.g., peak height ratio threshold, stutter  
688 percentages, average peak height) during data analysis can increase the laboratory's confidence in  
689 conclusions drawn from the data set collected during validation and ongoing testing (see [4.1.14](#)).  
690 Possible variables to assess could include variation in reagent lots, in results from individual  
691 instruments, in negative controls and in changes in background noise levels.

692 **4.12.2** The technical leader should perform periodic evaluations (e.g., at least every six months)  
693 after casework implementation for concordance of the results to the validation data and if  
694 necessary, create additional data that may guide adjustments to the workflow, thresholds, and  
695 interpretations.

696 NOTE Additional information on routine evaluation of laboratory protocols can be found in ANSI/ASB  
697 Standard 123.

698 **4.12.3** Changes to critical reagents or critical equipment used for extraction, quantitation,  
699 amplification, or separation and detection may require additional testing to identify impacts on the  
700 STR kit performance and demonstrate that the results are concordant and reproducible. If not,  
701 additional validation studies should be performed and appropriate changes made to the standard  
702 operating procedures and interpretation guidelines.

703

704  
705  
706

## Annex A (informative)

### Bibliography

707 The following bibliography is not intended to be an all-inclusive list, review, or endorsement of  
708 literature on this topic. The goal of the bibliography is to provide publications cited informationally,  
709 and publications relevant to the standard

- 710 1] ANSI/ASB Standard 018, *Standard for Validation of Probabilistic Genotyping Systems*<sup>a</sup>.
- 711 2] ANSI/ASB Standard 020, *Standard for Validation Studies of DNA Mixtures and Development and*  
712 *Verification of a Laboratories Mixture Interpretation Protocol*<sup>a</sup>.
- 713 3] ASB Standard 038, *Standards for Internal Validation of Forensic DNA Analysis Methods*<sup>a</sup>.
- 714 4] ASB Standard 039 *Standards for Internal Validation of Human Short Tandem Repeat Profiling on*  
715 *Capillary Electrophoresis Platforms. (also available for public comment)*<sup>a</sup>.
- 716 5] ANSI/ASB Standard 040, *Standard for Forensic DNA Interpretation and Comparison Protocols*<sup>a</sup>.
- 717 6] ANSI/ASB Standard 123, *Standard for Routine Internal Evaluation of a Laboratory's DNA*  
718 *Interpretation and Comparison Protocol*<sup>a</sup>.
- 719 7] ANSI/ASB Standard 171, *Best Practice Recommendations for the Management and Use of Quality*  
720 *Assurance DNA Elimination Databases in Forensic DNA Analysis*<sup>a</sup>.
- 721 8] Australia New Zealand Policing Advisory Agency (ANZPAA) National Institute of Forensic  
722 Science (NIFS) *Validation Working Group Guideline for the Validation of Forensic Science*  
723 *Methods*. 2025.
- 724 9] Barwick, V. (ed.) *Planning and Reporting Method Validation Studies: Supplement to Eurachem*  
725 *Guide on the Fitness for Purpose of Analytical Methods*. 1<sup>st</sup> Ed. 2019.
- 726 10] Bieber, F.R., J.S. Buckleton, B. Budowle, J.M. Butler, M.D. Coble. "Evaluation of forensic DNA  
727 mixture evidence: protocol for evaluation, interpretation, and statistical calculations using  
728 the combined probability of inclusion." *BMC Genetics*. vol. 17(1):125. 2016.
- 729 11] Bregu et al., "Analytical thresholds and sensitivity: establishing RFU thresholds for forensic  
730 DNA analysis." *Journal Forensic Science*, vol. 58, pp. 120-9. 2013.
- 731 12] Butler, John M. *Advanced Topics in Forensic DNA Typing: Interpretation*. Academic Press, San  
732 Diego, CA, 2015.
- 733 13] Butler J.M. *Quality Assurance and Validation. In: Advanced Topics in Forensic DNA Methodology*.  
734 Elsevier, 2011.

---

<sup>a</sup> Available from: <https://www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board>

- 735 14] Butler, J.M., Iyer, H., Press, R., Taylor, M.K., Vallone, P.M., Willis, S. (2024) DNA Mixture  
736 Interpretation: A NIST Scientific Foundation Review. (National Institute of Standards and  
737 Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Series NIST IR 8351.
- 738 15] Cantwell, H. (ed.) *Eurachem Guide – The Fitness for Purpose of Analytical Methods: A*  
739 *Laboratory Guide to Method Validation and Related Topics*. 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed. 2025.
- 740 16] Expert Working Group on Human Factors in Forensic DNA Interpretation. *Forensic DNA*  
741 *Interpretation and Human Factors: Improving Practice Through a Systems Approach*. National  
742 Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, NIST IR 8503. 2024.
- 743 17] FBI, *Quality Assurance Standards for DNA Databasing Laboratories*. Effective July 1, 2025.
- 744 18] FBI, *Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories*. Effective July 1, 2025.
- 745 19] Forensic Science Regulator. *Guidance - Forensic Science Providers: Validation*. FSR-G-201, Issue  
746 2. 2024.
- 747 20] ISO 18385:2016 *Minimizing the risk of human DNA contamination in products used to collect,*  
748 *store and analyze biological material for forensic purposes – Requirements*.
- 749 21] Jones, J.P., L.J. Farrell, L.M. Benson. (eds.). *Informational Scientific Primers for Officers of the*  
750 *Court: Intended to Strengthen Use of Forensic Science Evidence*. National Institute of Standards  
751 and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, NIST Special Publication (SP) NIST SP 1500-28. [see  
752 Section 1D “Method Validation and Method Verification.” 2025.
- 753 22] Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) for Forensic Science. *Human Factors in*  
754 *Validation and Performance Testing of Forensic Science*. OSAC Technical Series 0004. 2020.
- 755 23] OSAC Human Biology Subcommittee. *Process Map for Human Forensic DNA Analysis* (Current  
756 Practice). 2022.
- 757 24] Rakay, C.A., Bregu, J. and Grgicak, C.M. “Maximizing allele detection: Effects of analytical  
758 threshold and DNA levels on rates of allele and locus drop-out.” *Forensic Science*  
759 *International: Genetics*, Vol. 6(6), pp. 723-728. 2012.
- 760 25] Swofford, H., S. Lund, B. Guttman, E.L. Romsos, J. Soon, M. Taylor, H. Iyer, P.M. Vallone, J.P.  
761 Jones, V. Desiderio, J.M. Butler, S. Koch, R.M. Thompson, G. Fiumara, E. Sisco, K. Sauerwein, A.  
762 Getz, R. Ramotowski. *Validation in Forensic Science: Guiding Principles for the Collection and*  
763 *Use of Validation Data*. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD,  
764 NISTIR 8589. 2025.
- 765 26] Wickenheiser, R. and Farrell, L. “Collaborative versus traditional method validation  
766 approach: Discussion and business case.” *Forensic Science International: Synergy*, vol. 2, pp.  
767 230-237. 2020.
- 768 SWGDAM Documents are available from: [www.swgdam.org/publications](http://www.swgdam.org/publications)
- 769 27] SWGDAM. *SWGDAM Contamination Prevention and Detection Guidelines for Forensic DNA*  
770 *Laboratories*.

DRAFT



**ASB**  
AAFS STANDARDS BOARD

Academy Standards Board  
410 North 21st Street  
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

[www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board](http://www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board)