

6-Jul-20  
ASB Std 134, Standard for Analyzing Pathological Conditions and Anomalies in Forensic Anthropology

#	Section	Type of Comment (E-	Comments	Proposed Resolution	Final Resolution
1	1 and 3.1	T	It is slightly incongruous that the Scope states that the document does not provide guidance for distinguishing between anomalies and normal skeletal variaiton, but the definition for "anomaly" is "a skeletal deviation from normal."	Can something be added, either in the scope or the definition of "anomaly," that addresses how one would make the distinction between normal and anomalous? Even just changing the definition of 3.1 to "a skeletal deviation from normal, informed by the practitioner's knowledge of a full range of normal human skeletal variants," or some such, might be helpful.	Reject: This document is not providing criteria for distinguishing between normal or anomalous conditions. The definition used is common based on relevant literature.
2	4.2.1	T	Measurements should be mentioned when documentation is discussed	Add a statement to the effect of "When appropriate, dimensions of an affected area shall be measured and reported" (perhaps after the sentence that begins, "Docmentation shall include...")	Accept with Modification: Sentence added in second paragraph as a guideline not as a requirement as proposed.
3	4.2.2	E	Errant quotation marks after "considered" in the second paragraph	Remove quotation marks	Accept
4			There is a random quotation mark at end of 2nd sentence in 4.2.2		Accept