

Reporting DNA Conclusions



ASB
ACADEMY
STANDARDS BOARD

Reporting DNA Conclusions

ASB Approved Xxxxx ~~2022~~2023
ANSI Approved Xxxxxx ~~2022~~2023



ASB
ACADEMY
STANDARDS BOARD

410 North 21st Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

This document may be downloaded from: www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board

This document is provided by the AAFS Academy Standards Board. Users are permitted to print and download the document and extracts from the document for personal use, however the following actions are prohibited under copyright:

- *modifying this document or its related graphics in any way;*
- *using any illustrations or any graphics separately from any accompanying text; and,*
- *failing to include an acknowledgment alongside the copied material noting the AAFS Academy Standards Board as the copyright holder and publisher.*

Users may not reproduce, duplicate, copy, sell, resell, or exploit for any commercial purposes this document or any portion of it. Users may create a hyperlink to www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board to allow persons to download their individual free copy of this document. The hyperlink must not portray AAFS, the AAFS Standards Board, this document, our agents, associates and affiliates in an offensive manner, or be misleading or false. ASB trademarks may not be used as part of a link without written permission from ASB.

The AAFS Standards Board retains the sole right to submit this document to any other forum for any purpose.

Certain commercial entities, equipment or materials may be identified in this document to describe a procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendations or endorsement by the AAFS or the AAFS Standards Board, nor is it intended to imply that the entities, materials, or equipment are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

Proper citation of ASB documents includes the designation, title, edition, and year of publication.

*This document is copyrighted © by the AAFS Standards Board, LLC. ~~2022~~2023 All rights are reserved.
410 North 21st Street, Colorado Springs, CO 80904, www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board.*

Foreword

This standard defines the required components for reporting human autosomal STR and haplotype DNA interpretations and conclusions.

This document was revised, prepared, and finalized as a standard by the DNA Consensus Body of the AAFS Standards Board. The draft of this standard was developed by the Biology/DNA Biological Data Interpretation and Reporting Subcommittee of the Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) for Forensic Science.

The American Academy of Forensic Sciences established the Academy Standards Board (ASB) in 2015 with a vision of safeguarding Justice, Integrity and Fairness through Consensus Based American National Standards. To that end, the ASB develops consensus based forensic standards within a framework accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI), and provides training to support those standards. ASB values integrity, scientific rigor, openness, due process, collaboration, excellence, diversity and inclusion. ASB is dedicated to developing and making freely accessible the highest quality documentary forensic science consensus Standards, Guidelines, Best Practices, and Technical Reports in a wide range of forensic science disciplines as a service to forensic practitioners and the legal system.

Questions, comments, and suggestions for the improvement of this document can be sent to AAFS-ASB Secretariat, asb@aaafs.org or 401 N 21st Street, Colorado Springs, CO 80904.

All hyperlinks and web addresses shown in this document are current as of the publication date of this standard.

ASB procedures are publicly available, free of cost, at www.aaafs.org/academy-standards-board.

Keywords: *human DNA, autosomal STR, haplotype, mitochondrial, Y-STR, interpretation, conclusions, statistics, report, protocol, inclusion, exclusion, inconclusive*

Table of Contents *(to be completed when the document is final)*

1	Scope.....
2	Normative References
3	Terms and Definitions
4	Requirements
	Annex A (informative) Bibliography

DRAFT

Reporting DNA Conclusions

1 Scope

This standard contains the reporting requirements for human autosomal STR and haplotype DNA conclusions for results obtained from evidentiary samples in forensic casework and does not apply to paternity or any other biological relatedness conclusions. This standard only addresses the requirements for providing DNA conclusions in the report.

2 Normative References

There are no normative references. Annex A (Bibliography) contains informative references.

3 Terms and Definitions

For purposes of this document, the following definitions apply.

3.1

assumed/~~conditioned~~ contributor

An individual whose DNA on an evidentiary sample is reasonably expected or whose DNA data are used in the interpretation of evidentiary data.

3.2

comparative statement

A qualitative conclusion of any comparison performed to assess the degree of similarity or difference between DNA data (e.g., inclusion or exclusion).

3.3

conclusion

conclusionary statement

A reasoned deduction based on the results or a comparison. Conclusionary statements may have multiple elements: interpretive, comparative, and/or statistical statements.

3.4

evidentiary data

Data generated from an evidentiary sample and not from a reference sample.

3.5

evidentiary sample

Biological sample recovered from a crime scene or collected from persons or objects associated with a crime.

3.6

exclusion

exclusionary conclusion

A conclusion that eliminates an individual as a contributor of DNA obtained from an evidentiary sample based on the comparison of DNA data.

3.7**inclusion****inclusionary conclusion**

A conclusion for which an individual is a potential contributor of DNA (i.e., cannot be excluded) obtained from an evidentiary sample based on the comparison of DNA data; a statement of inclusion does not confirm that an individual is a source of the DNA.

3.8**inconclusive**

A determination that no conclusion (i.e., inclusion or exclusion) can be drawn from the comparison of reference data to evidentiary data. This could also result from statistical analyses that fail to provide sufficient support for an inclusion or exclusion.

3.9**interpretive statement**

A qualitative description of the DNA data (e.g., partial data, mixture data).

3.10**probative**

Possessing the potential to provide information that may be valuable to an investigation.

3.11**reference data**

Data generated from a reference sample.

3.12**reference sample**

Biological material obtained from a known individual and collected for purposes of comparison to evidentiary samples.

3.13**statistical statement**

A quantitative statement that provides a measure of support for the comparison performed (e.g., random match probability, likelihood ratio).

3.14**uninformative**

A scenario where the laboratory has concluded that the data do not support or refute a proposition as defined by the laboratory and based on validation studies.

3.15**unsuitable for comparison**

Data that cannot be used for comparisons for reasons including, but not limited to, poor or limited data quality, mixture complexity, or a failure to meet quality assurance requirements.

4 Requirements

4.1 The laboratory shall have a protocol for reporting conclusions. Statistical evaluation shall be conducted and reported based on a documented laboratory protocol. The protocols shall be based on, developed from, and supported by internal validation studies.

NOTE See ANSI/ASB ~~Standards~~Standard 018, [ANSI/ASB Standard 020](#) and [ANSI/ASB Standard 040](#) for additional information (Bibliography).

4.1.1 The laboratory shall define when inclusions are considered probative and therefore when statistical evaluations are required. An example of a probative statement of inclusion that requires a statistical statement would be the inclusion of a person of interest to DNA data developed from a stain on the complainant's clothing.

4.1.2 A statistical statement shall be provided for any probative inclusion irrespective of the number of alleles detected or the quantitative value.

4.1.3 The laboratory shall define when inclusions are not considered probative and therefore when statistical evaluations are not required. The inclusion of an individual on her own clothing or the habitual driver's DNA on a vehicle steering wheel are examples of a statement of inclusion that may not be considered probative and may not require a statistical statement.

4.2 A conclusion shall be stated for each evidentiary sample tested.

4.2.1 All evidentiary samples tested shall have an interpretive, comparative, and/or statistical conclusionary statement in the report, as applicable. Laboratories should provide definitions or other explanations in the body of, as a footnote to, or in an appendix to the report, for clarification of scientific terms, technical terms or other discipline-specific terminology used in the report, ~~particularly. This is important~~ when the terminology used may be confusing or misleading to end users (e.g., statement that the use of the term "sperm fraction" is a technical description based on the methodology used and does not confirm the presence of sperm) or when the definition of terms may vary between laboratories (e.g., "match" or "consistent with").

NOTE 1 Interpretive statements that may be provided include, but are not limited to, any conclusion regarding: the biological sex of the contributor(s); the lack of sufficient DNA for further testing; the quality of the DNA data obtained; the suitability of the data for comparison; or the resolution of a mixture into contributor components.

NOTE 2 If the same conclusion applies to multiple items tested, they may be combined into one statement or paragraph.

4.2.2 If an evidentiary sample is used as an alternate reference sample, then its usage shall be clearly stated in the report. For example, when a known reference sample is not available from an individual, it may be possible to use an evidentiary sample as an alternative (or presumed) reference sample (e.g., blood on clothing at a stab wound; oral swab from a sexual assault kit).

4.3 Reported DNA conclusions shall be clearly stated and shall contain the information in 4.3.1 through 4.3.9.

4.3.1 Any assumptions of number, or minimum number, of contributors.

4.3.2 Any assumed/conditioned contributor(s) used in the interpretation of the data. The report shall also state when an assumption used is based on information provided by a source external to the laboratory and identify the source of the information used.

NOTE Examples of possible scenarios where a contributor to a DNA mixture may be assumed/conditioned include the individual whose body was swabbed for the collection of possible deposited biological fluids (e.g., samples labelled as a vaginal swab, breast swab, bite mark swab, oral swab, penile swab), consensual sexual partner, individuals known to have worn, touched or handled an item (e.g., clothing, bedding, steering wheel of car), or contamination events having a known source (e.g., staff involved in evidence handling, collection or testing), and other included person(s) of interest. Other case scenarios may involve the evaluation of data by assuming/conditioning the analysis on other profile(s) and may be performed as needed.

4.3.3 Any statements of inclusion with associated statistical statements in support of the probative inclusions.

4.3.4 The limitations of lineage testing for haplotype testing inclusions shall be disclosed in the report.

NOTE Haplotype testing is limited in that two specimens that exhibit the same haplotype may have originated from either a common individual source, from ~~many~~ individuals with a close paternal (Y-STR) or maternal (mitochondrial) lineage, or unrelated individuals. Attribution of the haplotype typing results to a single individual, to the exclusion of relatives in the paternal or maternal lineage, is generally not possible.

4.3.5 Any limitation or restriction on the number of loci used. Only those loci present in both the evidentiary and reference data shall be used in interpretation and statistical calculations.

NOTE Due to the nature of some evidence (e.g., degraded, mixed DNA, tested with a legacy kit), it is common for the data from an evidentiary sample to be limited as compared to the data from known reference samples. Alternatively, situations may arise when the data from the reference sample is more limited (e.g., bone, tested with a legacy kit). This includes any scenario where the evidentiary and reference data are connected via intermediary data: (e.g., the comparison of two profiles via an intermediary profile that shares loci with both the evidentiary and reference data).

4.3.54.3.6 Any statements of exclusion that result from comparisons to reference samples.

NOTE Laboratories may define situations where statements of exclusion may be unnecessary, such as in scenarios where additional comparisons will not be performed. These situations may include: when no DNA data foreign to an assumed contributor are obtained from an item, or when single source DNA data have been associated to an individual. The laboratory is not precluded from providing exclusion statements in these situations.

4.3.64.3.7 The reason(s) any DNA data, or minor components of mixed data, were deemed uninterpretable.

4.3.74.3.8 The reason(s) no definitive conclusion(s) can be reached (e.g., inconclusive, unsuitable for comparison, or uninformative).

4.3.84.3.9 Statement(s) regarding the termination of analysis of an evidentiary sample, including the reason the termination occurred (e.g., insufficient amount of DNA detected).

4.4 Reference samples that give expected results do not require a conclusion statement; however, reference samples that produce unexpected results (e.g., partial data, mixture) or no results shall have an interpretive statement included in the report.

4.5 A statement shall be included in the report regarding whether DNA data will be entered, or will not be entered, into a searchable database for the purpose of generating investigative leads (e.g., CODIS).

4.6 ~~Every report shall include a~~ statement shall be included indicating that the report does not contain all of the documentation associated with the work performed.

NOTE In order to understand and evaluate all the work performed a review of the case record is required.

Annex A (informative)

Bibliography

The following bibliography is not intended to be an all-inclusive list, review, or endorsement of literature on this topic. The goal of the bibliography is to provide examples of publications addressed in the standard.

- 1] ANSI/ASB Standard 018, *Standard for Validation of Probabilistic Genotyping Systems, First Edition, 2020.*^a
- 1]2] ANSI/ASB Standard 020, *Standard for Validation Studies of DNA Mixtures, and Development and Verification of a Laboratory's Mixture Interpretation Protocol, First Edition, 2018.*^b
- 2]3] ANSI/ASB Standard 040, *Standard for Forensic DNA Interpretation and Comparison Protocols, First Edition, 2019.*^c
- ~~3]1] ANSI/ASB Standard 018, *Standard for Validation of Probabilistic Genotyping Systems, First Edition, 2020.*^d~~
- 4] ASTM E620-18, *Standard Practice for Reporting Opinions of Scientific or Technical Experts.*^e
- 5] FBI, *Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories, 2020.*^f
- 6] ISO/IEC 17025:2017, *General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories.*^g
- 7] Department of Justice, National Commission for Forensic Science (2015) Views of the Commission - Documentation, Case Record and Report Contents.^h
- 8] Department of Justice, National Commission for Forensic Science (2016) Recommendation to the Attorney General - Documentation, Case Record, and Report Contents.ⁱ

^a Available from: <https://www.aafs.org/asb-standard/standard-validation-probabilistic-genotyping-systems>

^b Available from: <https://www.aafs.org/asb-standard/standard-validation-studies-dna-mixtures-and-development-and-verification-laboratorys>

^c Available from: <https://www.aafs.org/asb-standard/standard-forensic-dna-interpretation-and-comparison-protocols>

~~^d Available from: <https://www.aafs.org/asb-standard/standard-validation-probabilistic-genotyping-systems>~~

^e Available from: https://www.techstreet.com/standards/astm-e620-18?product_id=2012164

^f Available from: https://www.swgdam.org/files/ugd/4344b0_d73afdd0007c4ed6a0e7e2ffbd6c4eb8.pdf

^g Available from: <https://www.iso.org/standard/66912.html>

^h Available from: <https://www.justice.gov/archives/ncfs/file/818191/download>

ⁱ Available from: <https://www.justice.gov/archives/ncfs/page/file/905536/download>

9] SWGDAM. *Interpretation Guidelines for Autosomal STR Typing by Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories.*^j

~~10]~~ SWGDAM. *Interpretation Guidelines for Y-Chromosome STR Typing by Forensic DNA Laboratories.*ⁱ~~SWGDAM.~~

~~10]~~^{11]} ~~SWGDAM.~~ Scientific Working Group on DNA Analysis Methods *Interpretation Guidelines for Mitochondrial DNA Analysis by Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories,* ~~2019.~~^{k, i}

^j Available at ~~from:~~ <https://www.swgdam.org/>;

ⁱ Available from: <https://www.nist.gov/system/files/documents/2023/01/03/OSAC%202021-S-0021-Forensic%20Autosomal%20STR%20DNA%20Statistical%20Analyses.REGISTRY%20VERSION.pdf>

^k Available from: <https://1ecb9588-ca6f-4feb-971a-73265dbf079c.filesusr.com/ugd/4344b0-f61de6abf3b94c52b28139bff600ae98.pdf>

DRAFT



ASB
ACADEMY
STANDARDS BOARD

Academy Standards Board
410 North 21st Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board