

17-May-21

ASB Standard 149, Taphonomic Observations in Support of the Postmortem Interval.

#	Section	Type of Comment (E-Editorial, T-Technical)	Comments	Proposed Resolution	Final Resolution
1	4.6, paragraph 2	T	With the addition the parenthetical giving prediction intervals as an example, I think that using the phrase "level of certainty" to refer to the set of plausible values for the post mortem interval seems non-standard. Instead I think the sentence should be changed to refer to the level of uncertainty. In the statistical and scientific literature confidence and prediction intervals are generally referred to as uncertainty intervals rather than certainty intervals [Hahn and Meeker, 1991]. I have proposed some other minor editorial changes to this sentence as well.	Change the marked sentence to read, "If possible, the level of uncertainty (e.g., a prediction interval) based on published research shall be stated when using observations to reconstruct taphonomic processes to estimate a postmortem interval."	Accept
2	2 and Annex A		Remove reference to Annex A in 2 Normative References, or put Annex A back in.		Accept