

Deadline for submission of comments: October 3, 2022

ASB BPR 156, Best Practices for Specimen Collection and Preservation for Forensic Toxicology

| # | Section | Type of Comment (E-Editorial, T-Technical) | Comments | Proposed Resolution | Final Resolution |
|---|--------------|---|--|----------------------------|--|
| 0 | 8.5 | E | Comma needed between words 'forensic' and 'government' | insert necessary comma | REJECT: The Consensus Body believes this comment is intended for a different document, as the word "government" does not appear in this document and there is no Section 8. |
| 2 | 4.2 | E | The resolution to comments 1 and 5 should be updated to reflect the most recent red line version. Section 4.2 was added, "As a general rule, specimens should not be collected in expired tubes. It is recognized, however, that the expiration date may not reflect the suitability of a particular collection tube." | | REJECT: While the original adjudication will not be changed, it is recognized that the two resolutions that dealt with expired tubes should have read as follows: "PARTIAL ACCEPT: Section 4.2 was added, 'As a general rule, specimens should not be collected in expired tubes. It is recognized, however, that the expiration date may not reflect the suitability of a particular collection tube.' " |
| 1 | 4.9 | E | the word 'shall' should be changed to 'should' due to this being a guideline document - I realize this has already gone through comment but feel this may have been overlooked | change 'shall' to 'should' | REJECT: This document is under the ASB's category of Best Practice Recommendation (BPR). As such, "shalls" are permitted within the document. The Consensus Body supports the two instances of "shall" within this document. |
| 3 | Bibliography | E | Bibliography headings - Hair and Nails sections should have "regarding" capitalized for consistency. | | ACCEPT: The suggested editorial change was made. |