

Comments Deadline: 19-Sep-22

Document: ASB Standard 168, Standard for Testimony Monitoring in Friction Ridge Examination.

#	Section	Type of Comment (E-Editorial, T-Technical)	Comments	Proposed Resolution	Final Resolution
5	4.2		4.2--This is a supervisory function and it is common to have management personnel periodically rotated into specialty units from the organization at large. Performance evaluation is any manager's basic responsibility.		Reject: Individuals that have been competency tested in friction ridge examination are more qualified than those outside the discipline to determine if the witness testified within the scope of their expertise and appropriately explained results and limitations. [Similar comment received during first round of comment. FRCB previously approved this resolution.]
6	4.2		4.2, I don't believe a reviewer needs to be a competency tested, they just need to have a critical eye. This is basically saying that egregious misstatements can be ignored if not noted by somebody that is competency tested (remove the suggestion to be competency tested). 		Reject: Individuals that have been competency tested in friction ridge examination are more qualified than those outside the discipline to determine if the witness testified within the scope of their expertise and appropriately explained results and limitations. [Similar comment received during first round of comment. FRCB previously approved this resolution.]
7	4.4b		4.4b, within limits is not a criteria since there are no limits to opinions (remove this recommendation).		Reject: Section 4.4 b deals with limitations associated with the examiner's expertise (e.g., friction ridge expert may not testify about footwear results)
4	4.4e and annex	E	consider editing "layman"	lay person	Accept: "layman" changed to "lay person"
1	4.7	T	4.7 The FSP shall have a written policy that prescribes the corrective action to be taken if a non-conformance has occurred.	change/add: The FSP policy shall NOTIFY court official(s) OR CUSTOMERS OF THE NON-CONFORMANCE EVEN IF THE FSP DECIDES NO CORRECTIVE ACTION NEEDS TO BE TAKEN.	Reject: Section 4.7 already requires the FSP to include when it is appropriate to further notify any court official(s).
2	Annex A	E	Extra spaces in the title between the words "Monitoring" and "Form".	Remove extra spaces.	Accept: Removed extra spaces.
3	Annex A	E	The examiner's signature should go after the Testimony Reviewer's signature since both of these will take place prior to review by the QA manager.	Place examiner signature prior to the QA manager's signature.	Accept: Moved QA Manager's signature below Examiner's signature.