## Deadline of Submission of Comments: 22-May-23 Document Number: ANSI/ASB Std 170

Document Title: Standard for Veterinary Forensic Postmortem Examination

#	Section	Type of Comment (E- Editorial, T- Technical)	Comments	Proposed Resolution	Final Resolution
1	overall	Т	We had several comments the last time around, which we are including here, because we aren't sure how they were adjudicated. They don't seem to have been addressed in the recirculated draft so we aren't sure how to respond, but we also don't want our silence on the new draft to signal that we don't still have these concerns. Thanks!		The comments were received after the comment deadline. They were provided to the consensus body for review. The consensus body voted (procedural vote) to not address the comments on June 22, 2023.
2	p. 10, 11.2.1	E	Regarding the language: "The veterinarian shall review and interpret all requested laboratory results," need specific guidance if there is a right / wrong way to interpret results. Or have a disclaimer that the standard does not purport to take the place of standards that offer such additional guidance.	How should results be interpreted? Please provide specific guidance, examples or a reference.	This section of the document was not redlined and not open for comment in this round. The comments were received after the comment deadline. They were provided to the consensus body for review. The consensus body voted (procedural vote) to not address the comments on June 22, 2023.
3	p. 10, 11.2.3	E	Regarding the language, "The veterinarian shall review the investigative reports, medical records, medications (where applicable), and scene imagery that the veterinarian deems relevant in his/her professional opinion," The qualifier "in his/her professional opinion" doesn't provide guidance; it merely describes what is being done (the professional is providing an opinion). The documentation requirement will assist in making transparent the veterinarian's decisions re relevance and inclusion/exclusion of items to be reviewed.	Delete "in his/her professional opinion" and insert guidance re defining and assessing relevance (e.g., according to published sources, existing standards, the specifics of the case?). Require documentation of choice(s) and justification for choice(s).	This section of the document was not redlined and not open for comment in this round. The comments were received after the comment deadline. They were provided to the consensus body for review. The consensus body voted (procedural vote) to not address the comments on June 22, 2023.
4	p. 10, 11.2.4	E	Regarding the language, "The veterinarian shall determine the cause of death," more specificity is necessary to ensure the veterinarian follows a scientifically valid procedure.	How should it be determined? Please provide specific guidance or a reference.	This section of the document was not redlined and not open for comment in this round. The comments were received after the comment deadline. They were provided to the consensus body for review. The consensus body voted (procedural vote) to not address the comments on June 22, 2023.