

**Best Practice Recommendation for the Development of
Criteria for Acceptance of a Request for Friction Ridge
Examinations**



ASB
ACADEMY
STANDARDS BOARD

Best Practice Recommendation for the Development of Criteria for Acceptance of a Request for Friction Ridge Examinations

ASB Approved XXXXXX 202X

ANSI Approved XXXXXX 202X



410 North 21st Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

This document may be downloaded from: www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board

This document is provided by the AAFS Standards Board (ASB). Users are permitted to print and download the document and extracts from the document for personal use, however the following actions are prohibited under copyright:

- *modifying this document or its related graphics in any way;*
- *using any illustrations or any graphics separately from any accompanying text; and,*
- *failing to include an acknowledgment alongside the copied material noting the AAFS Standards Board as the copyright holder and publisher.*

Users may not reproduce, duplicate, copy, sell, resell, or exploit for any commercial purposes this document or any portion of it. Users may create a hyperlink to www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board to allow persons to download their individual free copy of this document. The hyperlink must not portray AAFS, the AAFS Standards Board, this document, our agents, associates and affiliates in an offensive manner, or be misleading or false. ASB trademarks may not be used as part of a link without written permission from ASB.

The AAFS Standards Board retains the sole right to submit this document to any other forum for any purpose.

Certain commercial entities, equipment or materials may be identified in this document to describe a procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendations or endorsement by the AAFS or the AAFS Standards Board, nor is it intended to imply that the entities, materials, or equipment are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

Proper citation of ASB documents includes the designation, title, edition, and year of publication.

*This document is copyrighted © by the AAFS Standards Board, LLC. 2025 All rights are reserved.
410 North 21st Street, Colorado Springs, CO 80904, www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board.*

Foreword

The purpose of this document is to provide best practices for the development of criteria for acceptance of a request for friction ridge examinations to include latent print processing, friction ridge comparisons, and automated database searching.

Acceptance criteria describes a forensic service provider's (FSP) pre-established conditions that the customer, public or private, must satisfy in order for a request to be accepted for friction ridge examinations.

Clearly defined acceptance criteria helps facilitate customer expectations and enable an FSP to determine if a request is technically feasible.

The American Academy of Forensic Sciences established the Academy Standards Board (ASB) in 2015 with a vision of safeguarding Justice, Integrity and Fairness through Consensus Based American National Standards. To that end, the ASB develops consensus based forensic standards within a framework accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI), and provides training to support those standards. ASB values integrity, scientific rigor, openness, due process, collaboration, excellence, diversity and inclusion. ASB is dedicated to developing and making freely accessible the highest quality documentary forensic science consensus Standards, Guidelines, Best Practices, and Technical Reports in a wide range of forensic science disciplines as a service to forensic practitioners and the legal system.

This document was revised, prepared, and finalized as a standard by the Friction Ridge Consensus Body of the AAFS Standards Board. The draft of this standard was developed by the Friction Ridge Subcommittee of the Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) for Forensic Science.

Questions, comments, and suggestions for the improvement of this document can be sent to AAFS-ASB Secretariat, asb@aafs.org or 410 N 21st Street, Colorado Springs, CO 80904.

All hyperlinks and web addresses shown in this document are current as of the publication date of this standard.

ASB procedures are publicly available, free of cost, at www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board.

Keywords: *TBD.*

Table of Contents *(to be updated when the document is finalized)*

1	Scope.....
2	Normative References.....
3	Terms and Definitions.....
4	Recommendations.....
	Annex A (informative) Bibliography

DRAFT

Best Practice Recommendation for the Development of Criteria for Acceptance of a Request for Friction Ridge Examinations

1 Scope

This document provides recommendations for the development of criteria for the acceptance of a request for friction ridge examinations to include latent print processing, friction ridge comparisons, and automated database searching.

This document does not address administrative decisions after a request has been accepted.

2 Normative References

There are no normative reference documents.

3 Terms and Definitions

For purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

3.1

forensic service provider

FSP

organization that performs all or part of the forensic process

NOTE to entry: A forensic service provider can be a large or small organization, including an organization consisting of a single examiner.

[ISO 21043-1:2025]

4 Recommendations

4.1 The forensic service provider (FSP) should have an accessible written policy to inform requesters of the requirements and capabilities of the FSP. The policy should include:

a) requirements for

- 1) those who may and may not request services (e.g., law enforcement, by court order, prosecuting attorneys, defense attorneys, the public, etc.);
- 2) cost, if applicable;
- 3) types of cases accepted and not accepted (e.g., criminal, noncriminal, property crimes, civil cases, review or consultation of other FSPs work products, etc.);
- 4) items accepted and not accepted (e.g., no cartridge cases, no unpackaged items, items not conducive for fingerprint evidence, a maximum number of items at one time, etc.);
- 5) case or item rejection (e.g., date of rejection, the reason for the rejection and the individual making the rejection);

- 6) safety (e.g., weapons be unloaded, drugs be removed from packaging, the use of zip ties to prevent discharge of a gun, requirements for processing explosives, etc.);
- 7) packaging (e.g., type of package required, use of zip ties for securing items within a package, weapons be submitted in a box with the direction documented on the outside of the box, individual packaging of items (cartridges), proper sealing, date packaged, initials of the collector, etc.);
- 8) chain of custody documentation (e.g., case numbers, case type, date of collection, name of collector, description of item(s), item identifier, date of request, request type (latent processing, friction ridge comparisons, database searches, etc.), the area and orientation the evidence was located on an object, etc.);
- 9) resolution, file type, and storage media requirements for digital images;
- 10) items needing other forensic processing in addition to fingerprint processing (e.g., DNA processing, document examination, firearms testing or examination);
- 11) previously processed or examined evidence (e.g., determining if additional friction ridge processing or other forensic examinations would be beneficial);
- 12) requirements for change requests and work stoppages (e.g., adding names, halting/holding examinations, cancelling requests, increasing the priority, etc.);

Example: all change requests need to be in writing

- b) criteria for determining the priority of requests (e.g., first in-first out, case type priority, etc.);
- c) capabilities of the FSP (e.g., the ability to swab for DNA prior to latent processing, the ability to use no-touch technology, the ability to chemically process items, the extent of database searches, etc.);
- d) the FSPs limited examination policy, if applicable; and
- e) the estimated turnaround time.

4.2 The FSP should have a written procedure for the review and acceptance of requests for services which should include:

- a) confirmation that requirements listed in the FSP policy are fulfilled;
- b) requirements for:
 - 1) documenting and communicating to the requester instances of missing, improper packaging of evidence or incorrect descriptions of items; and
 - 2) storage of improperly packaged items.

Annex A
(informative)

Bibliography

The following bibliography is not intended to be an all-inclusive list, review, or endorsement of literature on this topic. The goal of the bibliography is to provide references to information in this Best Practice Recommendation.

- 1) ISO 21043-1:2025, *Forensic sciences — Part 1: Terms and definitions*

DRAFT



ASB
ACADEMY
STANDARDS BOARD

Academy Standards Board
410 North 21st Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board