



B146 Women in (Forensic) Science

Max M. Houck, BS, MA*, West Virginia University, Forensic Science Initiative, 886 Chestnut Ridge Road, Suite 309, Morgantown, WV 26506-6216

After attending this presentation, attendees will gain a better understanding of the cultural aspects of why women are so prevalent in the profession of forensic science and how to attract and retain quality employees leading to a diverse workforce.

This presentation will impact the forensic community and/or humanity by providing an appreciation for the underlying reasons of the current workforce in forensic science.

Encouraging women to pursue science, engineering, and technology (SET) careers is an important part of creating a capable, diverse workforce. A portion of this encouragement entails experiencing viable female role models in the sciences and as portrayed in the popular media. As Julie King noted in her editorial (*Science* V308, 29 April 2005), while industry sees the advantages in a diverse workforce, academia has yet to inculcate these practices into its own diversity. However, one scientific discipline has attracted an inordinate number of females to its academic ranks: Forensic science.

Most forensic science programs are overtly female in population—the program at West Virginia University has been 62% to 67% female since its inception. The Introduction to Forensic Science course taught to last year was 86% female. This pattern is repeated in forensic science programs in the U.S. and abroad: The Higher Education Academy and SEMTA (the Sector Skills Council for the Science, Engineering and Manufacturing Technologies) published an overall rate of 67% for the U.K. (1) This pre-dominance of females in forensic science is mirrored in operational forensic laboratories (the discipline's "industry"); for example, Minnesota's Bureau of Criminal Apprehension Forensic Science Laboratory is 72% female and 60% of South Carolina's Law Enforcement Division Forensic Science Laboratory are female.

Why is forensic science such an attractive SET career for women? Several factors may provide indications. Changes in the way the media portray women (and minorities) in science, especially forensic science, may have encouraged women. In the 1990's, women and minorities were

under-represented as leads in television series with a scientific theme; however, the current slate of CSI dramas have generally improved this representation.

	1994-1997 (%'s) (2)	2002-2005 (%'s) CSI
U.S. Population	Science in Prime Time	
White		
Male	41	75
Female	42.1	13.2
Black		
Male	6	8.3
Female	6.6	1.4
Hispanic	11	0
Asian	3	0.7

Is this one of the lesser known results of the so-called "CSI Effect"? Men and women scientists in equal numbers report seeking a career in science due to the influences of science fiction media in their childhood (3). Perhaps the way the science is employed in the media portrayals makes a difference. Women are more interested in medical research than men (3) and medicine has obvious social import as does forensic science. As one female students explained when asked about her personal motivation for forensic science, "I could use my [science education] more to help people. I saw I had more options than in medicine." Additionally, reality-based shows like *Forensic Files* portray the industry by interviewing real forensic scientists—most of whom are women. Other forms of reality-based media can be influential, such as books written by female scientists aimed at children and young adults (4).

By studying the motivation of why forensic science is dominated by women, other SET academic disciplines could benefit in the recruitment and retention of a diversity of quality students. The predominance of females in forensic science has implications that affect not only academia but ultimately will affect the demographics of the industry, employer culture, and employee satisfaction as well (5). Diversity is a key to success but only if managed appropriately. Although the academy and industry of forensic science may have caught on to attracting a diverse workforce, their next challenge is to learn to manage it (6, 7).

References:

1. 'Forensic Science: Implications for Higher Education 2004,' available on-line at: <http://www.physsci.heacademy.ac.uk/publications/forensicscience/forensicsciencereport2004.pdf>
2. Gerbner, G., Linson, B. "Images of Scientists on Prime Time Television: A report for the U.S. Department of Commerce from the Cultural Indicators Research Project," Washington, DC, US



Criminalistics Section – 2006

- Department of Commerce, unpublished report (1998).
3. National Science Board, *Science and Engineering Indicators – 2002*. Arlington, VA: National Science Foundation, NSB-02-1, (2002).
 4. MacPherson, K. "Girls and Science," *Washington Post Book World*, 8 May: 7 (2005).
 5. Dale, W.D., Becker, W. S. "Strategy for staffing forensic scientists," *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 48, 465 (2003).
 6. Kennedy, D. "Forensic Science: Oxymoron?" *Science* 5 December 2003, p. 1625.
 7. Polski, J.P. "The Science Behind Forensic Science," *Science* V304, 16 April 2004, p. 389.

Women, Personnel, Forensic Science