



E8 Eyewitness Identification: Recent Developments in the Science & Policies

Sheri H. Mecklenburg, JD, Chicago Police Department, 3510 South Michigan Avenue, Chicago, IL 60653*

After attending this presentation, attendees will learn how the science of eyewitness identification applied to the first field study of those principals on eyewitness identification.

Researchers, policy makers, and the criminal justice system have long debated the value of eyewitness identification and how to make such identifications more reliable. Researchers believed that the solution was here, found in the academic research. In the first field study to test these principles, the forensic community can see how this research applied in the real world. This presentation will impact the forensic community and/or humanity by demonstrating how the Illinois Pilot Program was a ground-breaking study on eyewitness identification, and its results should be discussed, analyzed and reviewed for their continuing impact on the field of eyewitness identification.

The presenter is the director of the Illinois field study on eyewitness identification, the first field study to examine eyewitness identification procedures in hundreds of lineups involving real crimes, real victims, real witnesses and real suspects. The Illinois pilot program, a year-long study of both photo and live lineup procedures from three different-size law enforcement agencies, grew out recommendations made to address wrongful convictions. The Illinois study is the first field study to collect data on recommended reforms according to the scientific protocol, the first field study to concurrently collect data for comparative purposes on traditional lineups and the first field study to offer a comparative analysis. Two nationally-renowned experts analyzed the data independently.

The acceptance of DNA evidence by the judiciary revolutionized the criminal justice system, allowing police and prosecutors to determine with certainty the guilt or innocence of suspects in crimes where the offender left behind probative biological evidence, such as those involving sexual assault. The acceptance of DNA also opened the door to exoneration for the innocent who had been wrongfully convicted prior to the availability of DNA. The first wave of these DNA exonerations shook the faith in and foundations of the criminal justice system, leaving law makers, lawyers and law enforcement to search for the answers to what had gone awry and to seek safeguards to prevent such miscarriages of justice in the future. In attempting to learn lessons from these DNA exonerations, mistaken eyewitness identification emerged as one of the most common contributing factors to wrongful convictions.

Since the role of mistaken eyewitness identifications in wrongful convictions came to light, the way in which eyewitness identification is obtained by law enforcement has been called into question. Some answers have been offered, by what has been characterized as the “science” of eyewitness identification, which is based upon experimental research studies of eyewitness identification procedures by social scientists. This body of science has offered, among other things, proposed instructions, proposed standards for picking participants for the lineups and what is referred to as “the sequential, double-blind eyewitness procedure” for lineups. Though the protocols for the sequential double-blind procedure are not yet standardized, this method generally involves showing photos or participants one at a time rather than side-by-side, with the witness required to make a decision on each photo or person before viewing the next one. The “double-blind” component requires that the lineup be conducted by an administrator who does not know which photo or live participant is the suspect and which are the fillers or “foils.”

Although the National Institute of Justice recommended field studies on this aspect, as well as other proposals from the social scientists in 1999, only recently have field studies been touted, with Illinois being the first of its kind. The findings of the Illinois study will surprise you. The response to the study also may surprise you. However you view the Illinois study, two questions relevant to all scientists cannot be ignored: (1) to what scientific standards the science of eyewitness identification in this post-DNA world be held; and (2) to what extent has politics have influenced the science of eyewitness identification? The presenter will discuss the findings of the Illinois study, address the criticisms and make recommendations for the future of eyewitness identification.

Eyewitness Identification, Science, Field Testing