

A45 A Comparative Analysis of Low Copy Number (LCN) DNA Testing: How Low Can You Go?

Becky Hill, MS*, and John M. Butler, PhD, National Institute of Standards & Technology, 100 Bureau Drive, Mail Stop 8311, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8311

After attending this presentation, attendees will understand the importance of determining the lowest amount of DNA that can be reliably analyzed to give a full profile and the comparison of data between three commercial multiplex short tandem repeat (STR) kits will be discussed, as well as the value and relevance to the forensic community.

This presentation will impact the forensic science community by discussing how the term Low Copy Number (LCN) DNA is typically used when there is less than 100 - 125 pg of genomic DNA present in a sample.^{1,2} More and more labs are attempting to process lower amounts of DNA without realizing the consequences of doing so. STR typing kits will generally fail to amplify all of the loci present or even one or both alleles present within a locus at these low levels of DNA. Partial incorrect profiles are generated that can be misleading without taking additional precautions including replicate testing.^{3,4} In these cases, there are too few copies of the DNA template to provide reliable polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplicons, causing preferential amplification to occur.⁵ Next generation manufacturers' kits are being made more sensitive with improved PCR master mixes and more robust DNA polymerases. This can potentially lead to labs pushing the envelope and getting results that may not represent the true DNA profile of the originating source due to stochastic effects including allele dropout or drop-in.

Methods and Materials: Multiple LCN experiments were performed to evaluate three different samples that are heterozygous at every locus in the AmpFISTR Identifiler[™], AmpFISTR MiniFiler[™], and PowerPlex 16 HS PCR amplification kits. Completely heterozygous samples were used in order to evaluate peak height ratios (PHR) and potential imbalance due to stochastic effects (as compared to 9947A which is often used but has many homozygous loci). Each sample was tested in triplicate at multiple concentrations, including several considered to be LCN amounts (1 ng, 200 pg, 150 pg, 125 pg, 100 pg, 50 pg, and 10 pg) and at different PCR ranging from 28 to 34 cycles.^{3,6} They were tested in triplicate to determine the consensus profile, where an allele cannot be scored (considered real) unless it is present at least twice in the triplicate samples.^{2,3,6,7} The heterozygote peak height ratios (PHR) were calculated and compared at different concentrations and PCR cycling.^{2,4} Results will be shown with different multiplex kits. In addition, post-PCR purification was performed on some of the samples to evaluate the impact of salt removal on signal strength and data interpretation. Post-PCR purification requires a change in interpretation threshold. Therefore, thoughts on setting interpretation thresholds to avoid stochastic effects will be described. The value of anchoring DNA quantitation results to a calibrated reference material will also be discussed.

Summary of Results: Consensus profiles from triplicate samples at different concentrations and PCR cycling, peak height ratio calculations, and stutter percentages will be presented, as well as a thorough comparison of results between multiplex STR kits.

Conclusions: DNA typing is typically reliable when concentrations are as low as 100 – 125 pg. LCN profiles (<100 pg DNA) are affected by stochastic variation resulting in an increase of PHR, an increase in allelic drop out, and an increase in locus drop out that can lead to incorrect genotypes even when triplicates are evaluated.

References:

- ¹ Gill, P. (2001) Application of low copy number DNA profiling. *Croatian Med. J.* 42(3): 229-232.
- ² Gill, P., Whitaker, J., Flaxman, C., Brown, N., and Buckleton, J. (200) An investigation of the rigor of interpretation rules for STRs derived from less than 100 pg of DNA. *Forensic Sci. Int.* 112(1): 17-40.
- ³ Kloosterman, A.D. and Kersbergen, P. (2003) Efficacy and limits of genotyping low copy number (LCN) DNA samples by multiplex PCR of STR loci. *Progress in Forensic Genetics 9 – International Congress Series* 1239: 799-801.
- ⁴ Whitaker, J.P., Cotton, E.A., and Gill, P. (2001) A comparison of the characteristics of profiles produced with the AMPFISTR SGM Plus multiplex system for both standard and low copy number (LCN) STR DNA analysis. *Forensic Sci. Int.* 123: 215-223.
- ⁵ Walsh, P.S., Erlich, H.A., and Higuchi, R. (1992) Preferential PCR amplification of alleles: Mechanisms and solutions. *PCR Meth. Appl.* 1: 241-250.
- ⁶ Gill, P. (2002) Role of short tandem repeat DNA in forensic casework in the UK—past, present, and future perspectives. *BioTechniques* 32(2): 366-385.
- ⁷ Caragine, T., Mikulasovich, R., Tamariz, J., Bajda, E., Sebestyen, J., Baum, H., and Prinz, M. (2009)

Copyright 2010 by the AAFS. Unless stated otherwise, noncommercial *photocopying* of editorial published in this periodical is permitted by AAFS. Permission to reprint, publish, or otherwise reproduce such material in any form other than photocopying must be obtained by AAFS. * *Presenting Author*



Validation of Testing and Interpretation Protocols for Low Template DNA Samples Using AmpFISTR Identifiler[®]. *Croatian Med. J.* 50: 250-67.

Short Tandem Repeat DNA Typing, STR Multiplex Kits, Consensus Profiles