

## F24 Is Epigenetics Ready for Prime Time? The Potential of Using DNA Methylation Patterns to Differentiate Monozygotic Twins and to Estimate DNA Donor Age

Robert F. Hedges, JD\*, Special Assistant Attorney General, Ann Arbor, MI 48104

**Learning Overview:** After attending this presentation, attendees will understand the potential for using epigenetic science in the form of DNA methylation patterns as forensic evidence.

**Impact on the Forensic Science Community:** This presentation will impact the forensic science community by summarizing the scientific literature on DNA methylation studies as it relates to distinguishing monozygotic twins and determining DNA donor age and addressing whether the present state of the research is sufficient to meet *Daubert* or *Frye* standards for courtroom admissibility.

Forensic DNA testing was first used in the 1980s and has since revolutionized forensic science. There have been tremendous advances in DNA technology through the decades, including Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) amplification and probabilistic genotyping, yet there remains limits to what traditional DNA testing can do. Among those are: (1) differentiating between monozygotic twins, and (2) estimating the age of a DNA donor.

The discipline of epigenetics offers a potential answer to these questions that may be on the cusp of qualifying for courtroom admissibility. The *Oxford Dictionary* defines epigenetics as “[t]he study of changes in organisms caused by modification of gene expression rather than alteration of the genetic code itself.” “Epi-” is a Greek prefix meaning “above,” “upon,” or “in addition to.” Here, this presentation will be looking at some of the potential information that can be found in a DNA sample “in addition to” the autosomal DNA profile.

The focus of this presentation will be on one area of epigenetics—DNA methylation. DNA methylation means the addition of methyl groups (CH<sub>3</sub>) to the DNA molecule. The methyl groups do not change the DNA code or sequence, but they change the way the DNA is expressed. Stated another way, DNA methylation results in gene silencing. This is necessary for normal growth and development, but can also affect disease progression.<sup>1,2</sup>

Of the four DNA bases, traditional dogma holds that only Cytosine (C) can be methylated in mammals—recent research suggests that Adenine (A) can also be methylated; thus nearly all of the scientific research has involved cytosine.<sup>3,4</sup> When cytosine is methylated it becomes 5-methylcytosine (5-mC). Most 5-mCs are found adjacent to Guanine (G) bases, which are called “CpG sites.” Clusters of CpG sites are called “CpG islands.” A test called “bisulfite sequencing” can be used to develop a DNA methylation profile. When DNA is processed using sodium bisulfite, methylated cytosine remains cytosine, while unmethylated cytosine changes to uracil, thus making it relatively easy to map DNA methylation patterns via conventional sequencing methods.<sup>1,2,5</sup>

The scientific literature on DNA methylation is extensive and goes back more than a half century. In 1945, scientist Rollin Hotchkiss described his findings on the methylation of cytosine into 5-methylcytosine. In the 1970s, Sir Adrian Bird described the role DNA methylation plays in gene transcription. The bisulfite sequencing test was invented in the 1990s by Marianne Frommer and Susan Clark and is considered the gold standard of DNA methylation analysis.<sup>1,5</sup> Over the decades, numerous studies, many of them involving twins, have reported findings based upon DNA methylation. Therefore, there exists a mature, extensive body of scientific research involving DNA methylation and, in recent years, some studies have specifically discussed the potential of DNA methylation science as forensic evidence.<sup>6,7</sup>

Several research papers reporting the ability to differentiate monozygotic twins using DNA methylation patterns will be discussed.<sup>8-17</sup> The extent and quality of the research will be examined with an eye toward whether they appear sufficient to meet *Dauber* or *Frye* standards.<sup>18,19</sup>

The case of *Commonwealth v Dwayne McNair* will also be reviewed.<sup>20</sup> In *McNair*, the prosecution attempted to use a different type of DNA test to distinguish monozygotic twins.<sup>20</sup> Specifically, the prosecution attempted to use ultra-deep next generation sequencing to search for somatic mutations.<sup>21</sup> The cost of the test was reportedly \$120,000. In April 2017, the trial judge ruled that such testing does not meet *Daubert* standards for admissibility. The decision was not appealed, but the prosecution prevailed at trial based on other evidence.

A significant number of recent research papers report the ability to estimate DNA donor age to a range of about 3.5 to 7 years based on DNA methylation patterns.<sup>22-33</sup> Such evidence could be used as investigative leads or settle questions of possible lab contamination in certain DNA-based cold cases.

Finally, this presentation will conclude by discussing a 2018 paper by Vidaki and Kayser that discusses in detail the state of DNA methylation research and the authors’ recommendations for further validation testing.<sup>34</sup> Further reference will be made to a similar Webinar by Vidaki and Lee recorded in 2017.<sup>35</sup>

### Reference(s):

1. Ennis, Cath, and Oliver Pugh. 2017. *Introducing Epigenetics*. London: Icon Books. Ltd. 29-50.
2. Carey, Nessa. 2012. *The Epigenetics Revolution*. New York: Columbia University Press, 42-96.
3. Koziol, Majdalena, Charles Bradshaw, George Allen, Ana Costa, Christian Frezza, and John Gurdon. 2016. Identification of methylated deoxyadenosines in vertebrates reveals diversity in DNA modifications. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* Vol. 23, 24-30.
4. Wu, Tao, Tao Wang, Matthew Ceetin, Yongquan Lai, Shijia Zhu, Kaixuan Lin, Yifei Liu, et al. 2016. DNA methylation on N6—Adenine in mammalian embryonic stem cells. *Nature* Vol. 532, 329-345.
5. Scrivner, Coltan. 2016. *Beyond DNA: An Epigenetic Approach to Identical Twin Identification*. Edmond, Oklahoma: Unpublished thesis.
6. Kader, Farzeen, Meenu Ghai. 2015. DNA methylation and application in forensic sciences. *Forensic Science International* Vol. 249, 255-265.
7. Vidaki, Athina, Barbra Daniel, Denise Syndercombe-Court. 2013. Forensic DNA methylation profiling—Potential opportunities and challenges. *FSI Genetics* Vol 7, 499-507.

Copyright 2021 by the AAFS. Permission to reprint, publish, or otherwise reproduce such material in any form other than photocopying must be obtained by the AAFS.

8. Du, Qingqing, Guijun Zhu, Guangping Fu, and Xiaojing Zhang. 2015. A Genome-Wide Scan of DNA Methylation Markers for Distinguishing Monozygotic Twins. *Twin Research and Human Genetics* Vol. 18, 670-679.
9. Fraga, Mario, Esteban Ballestar, Maria Paz, Santiago Ropero, Fernando Setien, Maria Ballestar, Damia heine-Suner, et al. 2005. Epigenetic differences arise during the lifetime of monozygotic twins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* Vol. 102, 10604-10609.
10. Graham, Sarah. 2005. Identical Twins Exhibit Differences in Gene Expression. *Scientific American*. July 5, 2005. Accessed September 3, 2020. <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/identical-twins-exhibit-d/>.
11. Levesque, Melissa, Kevin Casey, Moshe Szyf, Elmira Ismaylova, Victoria Ly, Marie-Pier Vermer, Matthew Suderman, et al. 2014. Genome-wide DNA methylation variability in adolescent monozygotic twin followed since birth. *Epigenetics* Vol. 9, 1410-1422. Accessed September 3, 2020. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25437055/>.
12. Li, Chengtao, Shumin Zhao, na Zhang, Suhua Zhang, and Yiping Hou. 2013. Differences of DNA methylation profiles between monozygotic twins' blood samples. *Molecular Biology Reports* Vol. 40, 5275-5280.
13. Stewart, Leander, Neil Evans, Kimberly Bexon, Dieudonne van der Meer, and Graham Williams. 2015. Differentiating between monozygotic twins through DNA methylation specific high-resolution melt curve analysis. *Analytical Biochemistry* Vol. 476, 36-39.
14. Wong, Chloe, Avshalom Caspi, Benjamin Williams, Ian Craig, Renate Houts, Antony Ambler, Terrie Moffitt, and Jonathan Mill. 2010. A longitudinal study of epigenetic variation in twins. *Epigenetics* Vol. 16, 516-526.
15. Zhang, Na, Shumin Zhao, Su-Hua Zhang, Jinzhong Chen, Daru Lu, Min Shen, and Chengtao Li. 2015. Intra-Monozygotic Twin Pair Discordance and Longitudinal Variation of Whole-Genome Scale DNA Methylation in Adults. *PLoS One*. Accessed September 3, 2020. <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0135022>.
16. Vidaki, Athina, Vivian Kalamara, Elena Camero-Montoro, Timothy Spector, Jordana Bell, and Manfred Kayser. 2018. Investigating the epigenetic discrimination of identical twins using buccal swabs, saliva, and cigarette butts in the forensic setting. *Genes*. Accessed September 3, 2020. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5977192/>.
17. Park, Jong-Lyul, Kwang-Man Woo, Seon-Young Kim, and Yong Kim. 2018. Potential forensic application of DNA methylation to identify individuals in a pair of monozygotic twins. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* Vol 6, e456-e457. Accessed September 3, 2020. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1875176817300872>.
18. *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals*, 509 US 579; 113 S Ct 2786; 125 L Ed 2nd 469 (1993).
19. *Frye v. US*, 293 F 1013 (DC Cir 1923).
20. *Commonwealth v. Dwayne McNair*, Docket No. 8414CR10768, Trial Court Opinion (Mass. Suffolk County Super. Ct., April 11, 2017).
21. Weber-Lehmann, Jacqueline, Elmar Schilling, Georg Gradl, Daniel Richter, Jens Wichler, and Burkhard Rolf. 2014. Finding the needle in the haystack: Differentiating "identical" twins in paternity testing and forensics by ultra-deep next generation sequencing. *FSI Genetics* Vol. 9, 42-46.
22. Montesanto, Alberto, Patrizia "Aquila, Vincenzo Legani, Ersilia Paparazzo, Silvana Geracitano, Laura Formentine, et al. 2020. A new robust epigenetic model for forensic age prediction. *Journal of Forensic Sciences* Vol. 65, 1424-1431. Accessed September 3, 2020. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/1556-4029.14460?campaign=wolotoc>.
23. Bekaert, Bram, Aubeline kamalandua, Sara Sazpico, Wim Van de Voorde, and Ronny Decorte. 2015. Improved age determination of blood and teeth samples using a selected set of DNA methylation markers. *Epigenetics* V. 10, 922-930.
24. Bocklandt, Sven, Wen Lin, Mary Sehl, Francisco Sanchez, Janet Sinsheimer, Steve Horvath, and Eric Vilain. 2011. Epigenetic Predictor of Age. *PLoS One*. June 22, 2011. Accessed September 3, 2020. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21731603/>.
25. Petkovich, Daniel, Dmitriy Podolski, Alexei Lobanov, Sang-Goo Lee, Richard Miller, and Vadim Gladyshev. 2017. Using DNA Methylation Profiling to Evaluate Biological Age and Longevity Interventions. *Cell Metabolism* Vol. 25, 954-960.
26. Zbiec-Piekarska, Renata, Magdalena Spolnicka, Tomasz Kupiec, Agnieszka Parys-Proszek, Zanetta Makowska, Anna Palecka, et al. 2015. Development of a forensically useful age prediction method based on DNA methylation analysis. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, Vol. 17, 173-179.
27. Freire-Aradas, Ana, Christopher Phillips, and Maria Lareu. 2017. Forensic individual age estimation with DNA: From initial approaches to methylation tests. *Forensic Science Review* Vol. 29, 121-144.
28. Eipel, Monika, Felix Mayer, Tanja Arent, Marcelo Ferreira, Carina Birkhofer, Uwe Gerstenmaier, Ivn Costa, Stefanie Ritz-Timme, and Wolfgang Wagner. 2016. Epigenetic age predictions based on buccal swabs are more precise in combination with cell type-specific DNA methylation signatures. *Ageing* Vol. 8 1034-1048.
29. Zbiec-Piekarska, Renata, Magdalena Spolnicka, Tomasz Kupiec, Zanetta Makowska, Anna Spas, Agnieszka Parys-Proszek, et al. 2015. Examination of DNA methylation status of the ELOVL2 marker may be useful for human age prediction in forensic science. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* Vol. 23, e1-e24. Accessed September 3, 2020. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25450787/>.
30. Hong, Sae, Sang-Eun Jung, Eun Lee, Kyoung-Jin Shin, Woo Yang, Hwan Lee. 2017. DNA methylation-based age prediction from saliva: High age predictability by combination of 7 CpG markers. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* Vol. 29, 118-125.
31. Vidaki, Athina, David Ballard, Anastasia Aliferi, Thomas Miller, Leon Barron, and Denise Syndercombe-Court. 2017. DNA methylation-based forensic age prediction using artificial neural networks and next generation sequencing. *FSI Genetics* Vol. 28, 225-236.
32. Parson, Walther. 2018. Age estimation with DNA: From forensic DNA fingerprinting to forensic (epi)genomics: A mini-review. *Gerontology* Vol. 64, 326-332.
33. Freire-Aradas, Ana, Christopher Phillips, Ana Mosquera, L. Giron-Santamaria, et al. 2016. Development of a methylation marker set for forensic age estimation using analysis of public methylation data and the Agena Bioscience EpiTYPER system. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* Vol. 24, 65-74.
34. Vidaki, Athina, and Manfred Kayser. 2018. Recent progress, methods and perspectives in forensic epigenetics. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* Vol 37, 180-195.
35. Vidaki, Athina, and Hwan Young Lee. 2017. DNA Methylation-Based Age Prediction & Body Fluid ID. *National Institute of Justice, Webinar*. Recorded December 6, 2017. Accessed September 3, 2020. <https://nij.ojp.gov/events/dna-methylation-based-age-prediction-body-fluid-id>.

## Epigenetics, DNA Methylation, DNA Donor Age